CGHC010403262026 2026:CGHC:47025

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9987 of 2026

1 - Ashish Pandey Son Of Late Ajay Kumar Pandey Aged About 23 Years Resident Of Block No.63/751, Deen Dayal Awas, Police Staion Kabir Nagar, Tatibandh, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Officer, Police Station D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	**:**	Shri Suresh Tandon, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Shri Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

05/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime

No. 361/2026 registered at Police Station Deendayal Nagar, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 309(4), 3(5) of BNS, 2023. The applicant is in custody since 07.06.2026.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant, namely, Shrawan, is an employee of Sah Company. On 06.06.2026, the owner of the company, namely, Vishesh Sah, sent the complainant for depositing the loan amount. Accordingly, the complainant proceeded in a car bearing registration No. CG 04 HV 7902. On the way, he received information regarding gas leakage near Dagania Market and, therefore, stopped the vehicle to get the same repaired. At about 7:05 p.m., while the complainant was taking out a black-coloured bag from the car, three unknown persons approached him, snatched the said bag and fled from the spot. The bag contained cash amounting to Rs.10,26,500/-, a cheque book, an ATM card, an Aadhaar card and a PAN card. Thereafter, the complainant informed the owner of the company about the incident and lodged a report with the police. On the basis of the said report, the police registered the aforesaid offence against unknown persons.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. The applicant has not committed any offence as alleged against him. He further submits that the applicant has been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of the memorandum statement

of the co-accused persons. No stolen property or alleged amount has been recovered from the possession of the present applicant. He also submits that the applicant is in custody since 07.06.2026, the charge-sheet has been filed and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. On these grounds, learned counsel prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant is involved in the commission of the alleged offence and, therefore, is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature of the allegations, the fact that the applicant has been implicated on the basis of the memorandum statement of the co-accused persons, the period of custody of the applicant since 07.06.2026, and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that it is a fit case to enlarge the applicant on bail.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail, on following conditions :-

   (i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat

or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court,

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, and

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

SD/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)

JUDGE

Madhurima