CGHC010402952026 2026:CGHC:46717

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.11.04 16:54:41 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9694 of 2026

*** -** Seven Poyam S/o Sada Ram Poyam Aged About 36 Years R/o Jamkotapara, Kondagaon Tahsil And District Kondagaon (C.G.) Father Name Wrongly Mentioned In Annexure A/ 1

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Kondagaon, District Kondagaon (C.G.).

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Abhishek Nirala, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Akash Agrawal, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

04/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.253 of 2026 registered at Police Station Kondagaon, District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh for commission of offence punishable under Sections 331(4) & 305(a) of BNS.
2. As per case of prosecution, Smt. Parwati Sahu lodged F.I.R. to the effect that on intervening night of 09th-10th of August, 2026, some unknown persons broke open the lock of donation-box (*Daanpeti*) of Shiv Mandir, Sargipali and committed theft of Rs.7,000/-. On such complaint, police registered the offence as stated above.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the case. He would contend that the charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant has no criminal antecedent and he is in jail since 10.08.2026. He would submit that on suspicion, the applicant was arrested by the police, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.
4. On the other hand, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State/non-applicant would oppose. He would submit that Rs.3,450/- was recovered on instance of the applicant and in memorandum statement, he has admitted that theft was committed by him, therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.
5. I have have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Having considered the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant is in jail since10.08.2026, he has no criminal antecedent, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the applicant is **allowed**.
7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

    I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the application for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti