CGHC010402692026 2026:CGHC:45935

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9687 of 2026

Yogita Nag Wd/o Late Ajay Nag Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Narsinghpur, P.S. Basna, Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Basna, Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

... Non-Applicant(s)

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Abhishek Nirala, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	**:**	Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Mohan Pandey, Judge

Order on Board

30/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as she has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 514/2026, registered at Police Station : Basna District- Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the Police of Police Station, Basna, District- Mahasamund (C.G.), received a secret

information from the informant and on the basis of the said information conducted raid and have seized 20 liters of handmade liquor from the possession of the applicant. Thereafter, Police has registered an offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case and that the alleged liquor was not seized from the exclusive possession of the applicant. He further submits that, in the present case, charge-sheet has not yet been filed before the competent Court and further the applicant has no criminal antecedent and she is in jail since 22.09.2026 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant and fairly submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedent but from the possession of the present applicant, 20 liters of handmade liquor was seized and as such she is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case-diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the allegations alleged against the applicant, the applicant has no criminal antecedent, the quantity of liquor seized from her possession, the period of incarceration since 22.09.2026 and the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant.

7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant is **allowed**. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, she shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(i) she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) she shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) she shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to her by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Kunal