CGHC010401492026 2026:CGHC:45142

SUMIT KUMAR Digitally signed by SUMIT KUMAR Date: 2026.10.27 11:32:13 +05:30

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 977 of 2026

1 - (Deleted) Smt. Geeta Devi (Died) Aged About 44 Years Through- L R S Through Power Of Attorney Holder Anil Kumar Mundra Son Of Gopal Das Mundra Aged About 44 Years R/o Sadar Bazar Ward Bhatapara Tahsil Bhatapara Distt- Baloda Bazar Bhatapara C.G. (As Per Hon.Ble Court Order Dated- 8.4.2024

1.1 - (A) Girdhar Das Mundra S/o Vasudev Das Mundra Aged About 72 Years R/o Sadar Bazar Bhatapara Distt- Baloda Bazar Bhatapara C.G (Died)

1.2 - (B) Vinod Kumar Mundra S/o Dwarika Das Mundra Aged About 62 Years R/o Sadar Bazar Bhatapara Distt- Baloda-Bazar Bhatapara C.G.

1.3 - (C) Anil Kumar Mundra S/o Late Shri Gopal Das Mundra Aged About 50 Years R/o Sadar Bazar Bhatapara Distt- Baloda Bazar Bhatapara C.G.

1.4 - (D) Sunil Kumar Mundra S/o Late Gopal Das Mundra Aged About 44 Years R/o Sadar Bazar Bhatapara Distt- Baloda-Bazar Bhatapara C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - Arun Kumar Mal S/o Late Shri Meethalal Mal Aged About 48 Years R/o Purana Ganj Ward Bhatapara Tahsil Bhatapara Distt- Baloda-Bazar-Bhatapara C.G.

2 - Ajay Kumar Mal S/o Late Shri Meethalal Mal Aged About 58 Years R/o Purana Ganj Ward Bhatapara Tahsil Bhatapara Distt- Baloda-Bazar Bhatapara C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Mr. Vijay Shankar Mishra, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Mr. B.S. Rajpur, Advocate

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, J.)

Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. Heard.

2. This is an application for restoration of WP227 No.865/2013 which has been dismissed for want of prosecution on 15.09.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that on 15.09.2026, when the matter was called for hearing, due to unavoidable reason, he could not appeared in the case and the petition has been dismissed for want of prosecution. He would further submit that the matter pertains to property rights and the challenge in the present writ petition is the order passed by the Board of Revenue which requires hearing on merits, therefore, the writ petition may be restored to its original number and it may be heard on merits.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents have raised formal objection.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the document annexed with the application.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is **allowed** and WP227 No.865/2013 is restored to its original number.

7. The MCC is **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
JUDGE

Sumit