CGHC010401382026 2026:CGHC:45149

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1687 of 2026

Rameshwar Singh S/o Ram Dhyan Singh Aged About 52 Years Caste -Rajput, R/o Village Bankul Chakarwa, District Devriya (U.P.)

... Applicant (s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Bango, District Korba -(C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikas Pandey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Pranjal Shukla, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order On Board

26/10/2026

1. Applicant has filed this second application under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "*BNSS*") for grant of anticipatory bail as he is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.100 of 2025 registered at Police Station- Bango, District- Korba (CG) for offence punishable under Sections 309 (4), 309 (6), 111, 310 (2), 238 and 61 (2) of the BNS.

Digitally signed by PRAVEEN KUMAR SINHA
Date: 2026.10.27 14:49:36 +0530

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant Aman Yadav, lodged a report at Police Station Bango alleging that on 04.07.2025, while travelling from Champa Railway Station to Korba in his car bearing registration No. CG-11BQ-9572 along with four unknown passengers, the said passengers forcibly robbed him of his vehicle and mobile phone near Ringaniya-Sarbhoka Road and fled from the spot. Accordingly, Crime No. 100/2025 was registered for the offence under Section 309(4) of the BNSS. During investigation, CCTV footage, tower dump data and mobile tower locations were analysed, leading to the identification of suspected accused persons in Siwan district, Bihar. Upon apprehension and interrogation, co-accused Anup Kumar and Pankaj Kumar Singh allegedly disclosed the involvement of other persons, including the present applicant, Rameshwar Singh, who is alleged to be the owner of the Nexon vehicle bearing registration No. UP-52-BF-7673, purportedly used in the commission of the offence. Consequently, the present applicant was also implicated in the aforesaid crime.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any such offence. Applicant has been made accused only because the vehicle which is used for commission of alleged offence is recorded in his name. He contended that co-accused person namely Ashish Rai *alias* Prince has taken his vehicle and was using it for last 3 months. At the time of incident also, as per

allegation, six persons were found present. Applicant is the seventh person who was not present on the spot and participated in commission of crime. There is no other criminal antecedent of applicant, hence, he may be extended benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the submission of learned counsel for the applicant. He however does not dispute the submission of learned counsel for the applicant that at the time of incident, six persons were present as per report and further that vehicle registered in the name of applicant was being used by Ashish *alias* Prince for last about 3 months as per memorandum statement.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of allegation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am inclined to allow the present application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the aforesaid crime, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of

the concerned arresting officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions :-

> "(i) that the applicant shall remain available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;
>
> (ii) the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer."

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Praveen