CGHC010401352026 2026:CGHC:45805

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.10.30 15:57:34 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9640 of 2026

*** -** Dinesh Bamaniya S/o Iddu Singh Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Narwali, Police Station - Tanda, Tehsil Kukshi, District Dhar, M.P.

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Dhamtari, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

... Non-Applicant

And

MCRC No. 9702 of 2026

***** - Dinesh Bamaniya S/o Iddu Singh Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Narwali, Police Station - Tanda, Tehsil Kukshi, District Dhar (MP)

... Applicant

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Sameer Rigri, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Vivek Sharma and Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyers

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. As both are the first bail applications under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 preferred by the same applicant arise out of different crime numbers i.e. Crime Nos. 56/2026 & 59/2026 registered at Police Station- Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (CG) for

commission of offence punishable under Sections 331(4), 305(a) & 3(5) of BNS, therefore, they are being disposed of by this common order.

2. As per case of prosecution, the present applicant along with 03 other co-accused committed theft in houses of Tulsiram, Vikas Katendra, Rupendra Sahu and Uttam Tiwari in the night of 07 / 08.02.2026. On complaint of Tulsiram Crime No. 54/2026 was registered against unknown person. During course of investigation, police arrested the present applicant on 21.04.2026. His memorandum statement was recorded wherein he disclosed that he committed theft along with 03 co-accused in the houses of Tulsiram, Vikas Katendra, Rupendra Sahu and Uttam Tiwari, accordingly he was implicated in 03 other Crime Nos. 56/2026, 57/2026 and 59/2026. Other co-accused namely Manoj Vaskale and Vinod are absconding. On instance of present applicant, metal-cutter and other equipments were recovered. It was also informed by the present applicant that one golden ring was sold to one jeweler.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has already been acquitted by the competent criminal Court in Crime No. 54/2026 and Crime No. 57/2026 and he is in jail since 05.06.2026., he was formally arrested in Crime No. 56/2026 and Crime No. 59/2026 on 05.02.2026 and charge-sheet have already been filed in both the cases. It is also argued that none of the stolen article was seized from his possession. Thus, he would pray for grant of bail to the applicant in both cases.

4. On the other hand, learned Panel Lawyers appearing for the State/non-applicant would oppose. They would submit that the present applicant

is involved in commission of theft in 04 cases. It is also contended that the applicant is habitual offender, therefore, both the bail applications of the applicant may be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diaries.

6. Having considered the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed in both cases, the applicant is in jail since 05.06.2026, further stolen articles were not recovered from the present applicant; other co-accused- Manoj Vaskale and Vinod are absconding and the applicant has been acquitted in Crime No. 54/2026 and Crime No. 57/2026, therefore, I am inclined to allow both the bail applications. Accordingly, both the bail applications are **allowed**.

7. It is directed that, in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- in each case, with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court, the applicant shall be released on bail subject to the following conditions :-

I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the applications for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti