NIRMALA
RAO

CGHC010401272026 2026:CGHC:46008

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9731 of 2026

1 - Aajuram Banjare Son Of Late Samaru Banjare Aged About 57 Years Resident Of Village - Lamkena, Police Station - Kota, District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Kota, District - Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Chandra Kumar, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Laxmeen Kashyap, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

30.10.2026

1) The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.833/2026, registered at Police Station Kota, District – Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act.

2) The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the police of Police Station Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.), received information from the informant

and, on the basis of the said information, conducted a raid and seized 25 bulk litres of illicit mahua liquor from the possession of the present applicant. Thereafter, the police registered the aforesaid offence against the present applicant.

3) Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that 25 bulk litres of mahua liquor were allegedly seized from the possession of the present applicant and that one criminal case of a similar nature was registered against him in the year 2019, in which he has already been acquitted. He would also submit that the applicant has been in jail since 30.9.2026 and that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude. He would, therefore, pray for grant of regular bail to the present applicant.

4) On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. He would further submit that 25 bulk litres of illicit mahua liquor were seized from the possession of the present applicant and that, therefore, he is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

5) I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6) Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, the fact that a criminal case under the Excise Act was registered against him in the year 2019, in which he has already been acquitted, and

further considering that the applicant has been in jail since 30.9.2026 and that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the present applicant.

7) It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Nimmi