CGHC010401102026 2026:CGHC:45802

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.10.30 11:02:43 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9645 of 2026

*** -** Salik Ram Pinjara S/o Pyare Lal Pinjara Aged About 52 Years R/o Village Arkar Police Station Sanaud, Tehsil And District Balod, Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through S H O Police Station Sanaud, District Balod, Chhattisgarh

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Aman Tamrakar, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.64 of 2026 registered at Police Station Sanaud, District Balod, Chhattisgarh for commission of offence punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS.

2. As per case of prosecution, an F.I.R. was lodged by complainant-Piteshwar Kumar Sahu on 09.07.2026 making allegation that between in the night of 06.07.2020 and 07.07.2026 an unknown thief committed theft of pump-starter, cable-wire and short-circuit etc total worth Rs.13,000/- from agricultural field of 08 farmers. On such complaint, police registered offence under Section 303(2) of BNS.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that investigation has completed and charge-sheet has already been filed and the applicant is in jail since 13.07.2026 and he has two criminal antecedents of preventive nature and same have already been disposed of. He would contend that no article was recovered from possession of the applicant and trial may take some time for its conclusion. Thus, he would pray for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State/non-applicant would submit that the present applicant committed theft in agricultural fields of 08 farmers and stolen electrical appliances total worth Rs.13,000/-. Thus, she would submit that the bail application of the applicant deserves to be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having consider the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant is in jail since 13.07.2026 and at present he has no criminal antecedent, further no article was seized from the possession of the present applicant, I am inclined to release the present applicant on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the applicant is allowed. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

    I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the application for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti