CGHC010400452026 2026:CGHC:46497

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.11.03 17:08:25 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9682 of 2026

*** -** Gourav Dahre S/o Late Khilawan Dahre Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Sonaputi, Police Station Bagbahra, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Bagbahra, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Arun Kumar Shukla, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

03/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.147 of 2026 registered at Police Station- Bagbahra, District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh for commission of offence punishable under Sections 109(1) & 3(5) of BNS.

2. As per case of prosecution, on 07.08.2026 at about 09:30 pm present applicant and other 02 co-accused assaulted Tikeshwar Nirmalkar and Sagar Nirmalkar with a knife. On such complaint, police registered the offence as stated above.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would argue that the allegation to cause knife injury is against co-accused- Sahil Satnami and a juvenile. He would submit that the present applicant was in company of those 02 co-accused, therefore, he has been implicated in the case. He would contend that charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant is in jail since 08.08.2026 and he has no criminal antecedent. Thus, he would pray for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State/non-applicant would oppose. He would submit that injured Tikeshwar Nirmalkar and Sagar Nirmalkar sustained grievous injuries. He would contend that the present applicant is in company of 02 other co-accused assaulted the injured with knifes. It is also argued that knifes were seized on the instance of co-accused- Sahil Satnami and a juvenile. He would contend that as the injured Tikeshwar Nirmalkar sustained life threatening injury, therefore, this bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that the knifes used in commission of offence were recovered on instance of co-accused Sahil Satnami and a juvenile, charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant is in jail since 08.08.2026, he has no criminal antecedent and allegation against him is that he assaulted the injured by hands and fists, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the applicant is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

    I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

    II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

    III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

    IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the application for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti