CGHC010398892026 2026:CGHC:44028

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7473 of 2026

Jyoti Kujur W/o Shri Amit George, Aged About 47 Years Occupation Service, Presently Working And Posted As Sub- Engineer At Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, R. E. S. District Balodabazar Bhatapara C.G.

Petitioner(s)

versus

RAHUL JHA
Digitally signed by RAHUL JHA
Date: 2026.10.13 10:27:50 +0530

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralay Mahanadi Bhawan, Capitol Complex, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, C.G.

2 - Under Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar C.G.

3 - Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Services, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Near Ghadi Chowk, Raipur, C.G.

4 - Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, District - Balodabazar Bhatapara C.G.

5 - Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bagicha, District Jashpur C.G.

Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from CIS)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Ms. Poonam Gilurkar, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Mr. Ghanshyam Kashyap, Dy. GA.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

12.10.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working as a Sub-Engineer in the Rural Engineering Services of the Panchayat and

Rural Development Department and is presently posted at Janpad Panchayat, Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar (C.G.). By the impugned order dated 01.10.2026, the petitioner has been transferred to Janpad Panchayat, Bagicha, District Jashpur (C.G.), which is approximately 326 kilometres away from her present place of posting. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is a working woman and has a seven-year-old daughter studying in Class II, whose mid-term examinations have recently commenced. It is contended that the petitioner's husband is employed as a Senior Trackman in the South East Central Railway, Bilaspur Division, and that the petitioner has been discharging her official duties while also attending to her family responsibilities. Learned counsel submits that the impugned transfer would cause considerable hardship to the petitioner and adversely affect the care and education of her minor daughter. It is further submitted that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 05.10.2026 before the respondent authorities, seeking reconsideration of her transfer. Learned counsel, therefore, prays that the respondent authorities be directed to consider and decide the petitioner's representation.

2. *Per contra,* learned State counsel opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner. However, he submits that, if the petitioner submits a representation before the Committee constituted under Clause 8 of the State Transfer Policy, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.

4. Considering the nature of the grievance raised by the petitioner and the submission made by learned State counsel, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is granted liberty to submit a fresh representation before the competent Transfer Committee constituted under Clause 8 of the State Transfer Policy within a period of 10 days from today. If such representation is submitted within the aforesaid period, the Transfer Committee shall consider and decide the same by passing a reasoned order, strictly in accordance with law and the applicable Transfer Policy, preferably within a period of 25 days from the date of submission of the representation.

5. With the aforesaid direction and liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(BIBHU DATTA GURU)

JUDGE

Rahul