CGHC010397452026 2026:CGHC:45769

**NAFR**

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

**MCRC No. 9629 of 2026**

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.29 17:42:10 +0530

Sanju Lahre S/o Gulab Chand Lahre Aged About 19 Years R/o Ameri P.S. Sakri District Bilaspur (C.G.) **... Applicant**

**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. - Sakri District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... **Non-Applicant**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| For Applicant | : | Shri Nikhil Kumar Pandey, Advocate. |
| For Non-Applicant/State | : | Shri Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer. |

**(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)**

**Order on Board**

**29/10/2026**

**1.** This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.743/2026 registered at Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 118(1), 296 & 115(2) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (for short, the BNS, 2023).

**2.** As per the case of the prosecution, on 11.08.2026, when a quarrel was going on between the present applicant and his father, Surendra Patre, the complainant, came there and intervened and subsequently he was inflicted injury by means of knife, resultantly, the complainant sustained

incised wound over right arm. F.I.R. was lodged on the same date and offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 296 of BNS was registered against the applicant

**3.** Learned counsel for the applicant would argue that the applicant has two criminal antecedents registered in the year 2025 for commission of offence punishable under Section 305 of BNS; in the present case, charge-sheet has already been filed; he is in jail since25.08.2026 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some considerable time. Therefore, he would pray that the bail application may be allowed and the applicant may be enlarged on bail.

**4.** On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant. He would submit that the applicant has two live criminal antecedents and the injuries sustained by the complainant/injured were serious in nature, therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

**5.** I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

**6.** Having considered the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents of similar nature rather there are two live criminal antecedents registered in the year 2025 for commission of theft; further considering that the charge-sheet has already been filed; applicant is in jail since 25.08.2026 and conclusion of trial may take some considerable time, without making any observation on merits of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the instant bail application is **allowed.**

**7.** It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

**8.** The observation made herein above is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only and the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
**JUDGE**

Anjani