SYED ROSHAN ZAMIR ALI

Digitally signed by SYED ROSHAN ZAMIR ALI
Date: 2026.11.05 19:19:49 +0530

CGHC010397002026 2026:CGHC:47069

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1726 of 2026

1. Sushil Kumar Bhagat S/o Jitram Bhagat Aged About 31 Years Occupation- Army Officer R/o- Village- Khukri Harrapara P.S.- Rajpur Tehsil- Rajpur, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge, Police Station- Karaundha District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Harsh Verma, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr. H. Yadu, Panel Lawyer
For Objector	:	Mr. Abhishek Dahariya, Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

05/11/2026

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’) for grant of anticipatory bail to applicant who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.17/2026 registered at Police Station Karondha, District Balrampur Ramanujganj under Sections 69 of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNS’).

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that on the false pretext of marriage, applicant repeatedly established physical relations with the complainant as a result of which she became pregnant, however, the applicant refused to marry her.

3. Learned counsel for applicant would submit that the applicant has agreed to marry the complainant and an affidavit has also been executed by the complainant, which is placed on record along with IA No.1/2026, to the effect that she is having no objection in grant of bail to applicant. Hence, applicant be granted anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel submits that as per allegation, physical relations were established by applicant on the false promise of marrying the complainant and thereafter he refused to marry her. She also submits that execution of affidavit by complainant upon verification is found to be correct.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of complainant/objector does not dispute the submission of learned counsel for applicant that the complainant has executed an affidavit that she is not having any objection in grant of bail to applicant.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Taking into consideration the submission of learned counsel for respective parties; nature of allegations and further considering the age of complainant to be around 27 years, as

mentioned in the affidavit sworn by her, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with aforementioned crime, he shall be released on bail his executing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer concerned. The applicant shall also abide by following conditions :-

(i) he shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) he shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

iv) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of trial.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

roshan/-