CGHC010380882026 2026:CGHC:45413

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9102 of 2026

Pramod Shriwas Son Of Shivcharan Shriwas Aged About 30 Years Resident Of Bhainso, Police Station - Pamgarh, District - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Pamgarh, District - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

--- **Respondent(s)**

MCRC No. 9523 of 2026

Umesh Yadav @ Bholu S/o Ram Kumar Yadav Aged About 23 Years Ward Bhainso, Police Station Pamgarh, Distt- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

---**Applicant**

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Pamgarh, Distt- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

--- **Respondent(s)**

For Applicants	:	Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Sourabh Sahu, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

27.10.2026

1. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicants who have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 353/2026 registered at Police Station : Pamgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 140 (3), 64 (2), 61 (2) and 127 (3) of BNS.

2. As per the prosecution story, in brief, on 28.08.2026, the husband of the prosecutrix lodged a report at Police Station Pamgarh regarding the disappearance of his wife (the prosecutrix) since 23.08.2026, expressing his suspicion that someone had wrongfully confined her. On 31.08.2026, the complainant produced the prosecutrix before Police Station Pamgarh, where her statement was recorded. In her statement, the prosecutrix disclosed that one Ramesh Shrivas resided at some distance from her house and used to visit her house frequently. He also used to take her child for walks. On 23.08.2026, at around 12:00 midnight, Ramesh Shrivas called the prosecutrix on her mobile phone and instructed her to come out of the house. Accordingly, the prosecutrix came out of the house and saw that Ramesh Shrivas, along with his friends, namely, Akash Singh, Pramod Shrivas, and two other persons, was sitting in a car. Ramesh

Shrivas asked the prosecutrix to sit inside the car; however, when she refused, he forcibly made her sit inside the car. Thereafter, they took her to the house of Ramesh Shrivas's sister, namely, Vimla Shrivas, situated at Village Khokhra. The accused persons also took Vimla Shrivas along with them in the car and thereafter took the prosecutrix to Kirodimal, Raigarh. At Kirodimal, Raigarh, Akash Shrivas, Pramod Shrivas, and the two other persons left the prosecutrix with Ramesh Shrivas and returned by car. Thereafter, accused Ramesh Shrivas kept the prosecutrix in a rented house and repeatedly committed sexual intercourse with her. On the basis of the aforesaid statement of the prosecutrix, the aforementioned offences were registered against the accused persons, including the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants submits that there is no allegation of sexual assault against the present applicants. He further submits that the applicants have been in judicial custody since 07.09.2026 and have no criminal antecedents. On the aforesaid grounds, learned counsel prays for grant of regular bail to the applicants.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail to the applicants.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the case diary, particularly the

fact that there is no allegation of sexual assault against the present applicants, that they have been in judicial custody since 07.09.2026, and that they have no criminal antecedents, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicants deserve to be enlarged on regular bail. Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the application for grant of regular bail is allowed.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicants executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b)he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh