CGHC010396072026 2026:CGHC:46186

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9666 of 2026

1 - Aniket Dogre S/o Dilip Dogre Aged About 24 Years R/o W R S Colony, Chota Para, Police Station Khamtrai, Raipur, Distt- (Revenue And Civil Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

REKHA SINGH
Digitally signed by REKHA SINGH
DN: cn=REKHA SINGH, ou=HIGH COURT, o=HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, st=Chhattisgarh, c=IN
Date: 2026.11.02 14:26:42 +0530

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Khamtrai, Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Non-applicant**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Yogesh Pandey, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr. Sumit Singh, Additional AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order On Board

02.11.2026

1) Pursuant to order dated 29.10.2026, Dr. Nagendra Singh, Dr. Vijayendra Kumar Dhiwar and Dr. Priya Dahake are present before this Court. They tender their unconditional apology for having failed to describe the nature of the injuries sustained by the injured. Their presence is accordingly dispensed with.

2. Dr. Nagendra Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, would submit that, upon examination of the MLC report and the injuries sustained by the injured, it can be stated that the injuries were not life-threatening in nature.

3. Mr. Singh, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State, would submit that the Secretary, Health Department, has given an undertaking and assured this Court that proper care would be taken in near future. He would further submit that medical opinions regarding the nature of injuries shall be based on clinical findings, available medical records, investigation reports and specialist consultations, wherever required. He would also submit that appropriate instructions would be issued to the Medical Officers and Forensic Experts in this regard. The affidavit filed by the State is taken on record.

4) The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.356/2026 registered at Police Station Khamtarai, Raipur (C.G.) for the offence under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(3), 109 & 3(5) of BNS and Section 25 & 27 of Arms Act.

5) The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 18.05.2026, the applicant and co-accused Jeevan Baghel were assaulted by Aayush Thakur and Piyush Singh, during which Jeevan Baghel sustained an incised wound. In this regard, an FIR was lodged on 18.05.2026 at about 5:30 p.m. Subsequently, a counter-FIR bearing Crime No. 356 of 2026 was lodged against the applicant and co-accused on 19.05.2026, alleging that Aayush Thakur and Piyush Singh had also sustained injuries in the same incident.

6) Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case. He would contend that the incident is a result of a dispute between both parties, in which the applicant and co-accused Jeevan Baghel were also

assaulted by Aayush Thakur and Piyush Singh, and an FIR was lodged in this regard on 18.05.2026. He would further submit that a counter-FIR was subsequently lodged against the applicant and co-accused, wherein the injuries sustained by Aayush Thakur were found to be simple in nature. He would also submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court, the applicant is in jail since 19.05.2026 and has no criminal antecedents. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

7) On the other hand, learned State counsel appearing for the State would oppose the bail application and submit that the present applicant assaulted Aayush Thakur with the blunt side of a knife, as a result of which the injured sustained injuries on his head, shoulder and left thigh. He would further submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. Therefore, he prays for rejection of the bail application.

8) I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

9) Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly that the incident appears to have taken place between two groups, wherein the applicant and co-accused Jeevan Baghel were also assaulted by Aayush Thakur and Piyush Singh, resulting in an incised wound to Jeevan Baghel, and an FIR was lodged in this regard on 18.05.2026; a counter-FIR was subsequently lodged against the applicant and co-accused in respect of the same incident; the MLC, injury report and opinion given by the medical expert indicates that the injuries sustained by Aayush Thakur were not fatal or life-threatening in

nature; the applicant is alleged to have assaulted Aayush Thakur with the blunt side of a knife; the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court; the applicant is in jail since 19.05.2026; and he has no criminal antecedents, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

10) It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with **one surety** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Rekha