CGHC010394202026 2026:CGHC:46032

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9754 of 2026

1 - Triloki Soni @ Chotu S/o Manmohan Soni Aged About 26 Years Resident Of Sarthi Chowk, Police Station Aajad Chowk, District Raipur, C.G. **... Applicant(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station D. D. Nagar, District Raipur, C.G. ...**Non-applicant**

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Rahul Goswami, Advocate
For Non-applicant	**:**	Ms. Ritika Verma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

30/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 439/2026, registered at Police Station D.D. Nagar, District Raipur for the offence punishable under Section 22(B) of NDPS Act.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 17.07.2026, the police of Police Station D.D. Nagar, Raipur, received secret information from an informant that two persons were carrying narcotic tablets in a coloured cloth bag on a Scooty Activa bearing registration No. CG-04-PH-6441 for the purpose of sale, in front of Government School, Pani Tanki, Dagania, Raipur. Upon receiving the said information and after complying with the mandatory provisions of the NDPS Act, the police party proceeded to the spot and conducted a raid. As per the information received, two persons were found sitting on the aforesaid Scooty and were apprehended. Upon search, 44 strips containing 10 tablets each and one loose strip

containing 4 tablets of Nitrazepam Tablet IP (Nitrotion-10) were recovered from a coloured cloth bag kept in the boot of the Scooty. Thus, a total of 444 tablets weighing 244.2 grams were allegedly recovered from the joint possession of the present applicant/accused Triloki Soni alias Chotu and co-accused Chudamani Yadav alias Chunnu. Accordingly, the said contraband tablets were seized and an offence was registered against the accused persons under the relevant provisions of the NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case and he is innocent. The applicant has two criminal antecedents under Section 303(2) of BNS of the year 2025 and 36(C) of Excise Act of the year 2022, both of have been disposed of. Learned counsel would submit that co-accused has already been enlarged on bail. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is in jail since 17.07.2026, the charge-sheet has already been filed, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude; thus, he would pray that the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the contraband article, i.e., 444 tablets of Nitrazepam weighing 244.2 grams, was recovered from the joint possession of the present applicant and co-accused. He further submits that the applicant has two criminal antecedents and, considering the nature and gravity of the offence , therefore, he is not entitled to grant of regular bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, the fact that the applicant has two criminal antecedents registered under the provisions of the BNS and the Chhattisgarh Excise Act in the years 2025 and 2022, respectively, which have already been disposed of, the quantity of contraband seized, and further considering the fact that the

applicant is in jail since 17.07.2026 and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed.**

7. The applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, she shall be released on bail, on following conditions :-

> (I) she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court,
>
> (ii) she shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, and
>
> (iii) she shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
Rakesh Mohan Pandey
JUDGE

Jyoti