CGHC010393952026 2026:CGHC:44007

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7460 of 2026

AMARDEEP CHOUBEY
Digitally signed by AMARDEEP CHOUBEY
Date: 2026.10.13 17:46:40 +0530

1 - Takendra Sinha S/o Shri Mahesh Kumar Sinha Aged About 39 Years Occupation Awas Samanvayak (Housing Coordinator) At Gariyaband, Zila Panchayat In Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana For Panchayat And Rural Development R/o Ward No. 07, Bhoaipara, Kurud, Tahsil Kurud, Distt. Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - The Director Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana For Rural Development In Panchayat Department Government Of Chhattisgarh, Vikash Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - The Collector Distt. Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh.

4 - The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Gariyaband, Distt. Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh.

5 - The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Narayanpur, Distt. Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. J.N. Nande, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. S.S.Baghel, Govt. Advocate

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

12/10/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 28/09/2026, whereby the respondent No.2 has cancelled the attachment order 30/05/2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, vide order dated 30.05.2025, the petitioner was attached to Zila Panchayat, Gariyaband, from Zila Panchayat, Narayanpur, at his own request, on the ground of ill health of his parents. However, the said order has subsequently been cancelled. It is further submitted that if the petitioner is relieved from his present place of posting, he would suffer irreparable hardship, as he would be unable to take proper care of his ailing parents. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation before respondent No. 2 and, therefore, the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the said representation, taking into account the grievance raised by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2/Director submits that, since the petitioner has already submitted a representation before respondent No. 2, the same shall be considered and an appropriate order will be passed in accordance with law, within a period of thirty days, taking into consideration the grievance raised by the petitioner, particularly the fact that his old and ailing parents are residing with him.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents appended with the writ petition.

5. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by taking into consideration the grievance raised by the petitioner, particularly the fact that his old and ailing parents are residing with him, and to pass an appropriate reasoned order within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed.

SD/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
Judge

Amardeep