CGHC010393832026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9468 of 2026

1 - Satyam Kosre S/o Late Jait Ram Kosre Aged About 26 Years R/o Village Murlidih, Police Station, Mulmula, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Police Station Mulmula, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Anmol Gupta, Advocate
For Non-applicant /State	:	Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

28/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for

grant of regular bail as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 359/2024 registered at Police Station Mulmula, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 238 of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the deceased Dhaneshwari Koshle was married to the Applicant Satyam Kosre, and on 20.10.2024 at about 12:50 p.m. the applicant quarreled with the deceased and assaulted and strangled her with a rope and hanged her with a scarf (chunari). The deceased was thereafter taken to CHC Aklatara wherein she was declared dead. Thereafter, the brother of the deceased registered a merg on 20.10.2024 on the basis of which FIR was registered on 16.11.2024 against the present applicant.

3. Mr. Anmol Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, would contend that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the offence. He would further argue that there is sole eye-witness of the incident, who is one of the children of the applicant and the deceased, and he has been examined before the trial Court and there are certain contradictions and omissions in his deposition which shows that the child witness is a tutored witness, therefore, his statement cannot be wholly relied upon. He would also contend that charge-sheet has already been filed, there is no criminal antecedent of the applicant and he is in jail

since 16/11/2024 and since conclusion of trial is likely to take considerable time, the present applicant may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, learned counsel appearing for the non-applicant/State, would oppose the bail application and submit that the said child witness has categorically stated in examination-in-chief that his mother was dragged by his father to another room and thereafter, he hanged her by using a scarf (chunri). Thus, since this is a case of commission of serious offence like murder and there is an eye-witness account, the instant bail application is liable to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. A perusal of the statement of witnesses particularly the deposition of the child witness who has been examined before the trial Court would show that he has categorically depicted the incident and stated that his mother was dragged by his father to another room and thereafter, he hanged her using a scarf (chunri).

7. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, seriousness of the offence and the role of the present applicant which has been depicted by the child eye witness in his statement before the trial Court, I am not inclined to release the present applicant on bail.

8. Accordingly, this bail application filed by the applicant – **Satyam Kosre** who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.

359/2024 registered at Police Station Mulmula, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) and 238 of BNS is hereby **dismissed**.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)

JUDGE

Harneet