CGHC010393662026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9656 of 2026

1 - Vikesh Verma @ Bugu S/o Shri Vijay Verma, Aged About 18 Years 2 Months, R/o Village Jalso, Police Station Koni, Tehsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.30 11:19:56 +0530

2 - Vikas Verma @ Jhanguriya S/o Shri Vijay Verma Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Jalso, Police Station Koni, Tehsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Applicants

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Koni, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh **... Non-Applicant**

For Applicants	**:**	Shri Ayush Verma, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	**:**	Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyer.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. At the outset, Shri Ayush Verma, learned counsel for the applicants submits that he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the bail application in so far as **applicant No.2 – Vikas Verma Jhanguriya** is concerned.

2. Accordingly, this bail application in so far as **applicant No.2 – Vikas Verma Jhanguriya** is concerned, is dismissed as withdrawn.

3. This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the **applicant No.1 Vikesh Verma @ Bugu**, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.439/2026 registered at Police Station Koni,

District Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(3), 118(1), 118(2), 191(2), 191(3) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (for short, the BNS, 2023).

4. As per the case of the prosecution, complainant Virendra Kumar Kesharwani, lodged a report making allegations that on 07.08.2026 at about 1:30 pm, near Jalso market, a dispute arose when accused persons, riding a motorcycle at high speed, were asked to slow down by persons travelling in an auto-rickshaw carrying complainant's family members. It is alleged that there are total 6 accused persons including one juvenile, who turned their motorcycles using filthy language and assaulted Virendra, Deepak and Nikhil with hand and fists, iron rod and scissors. On such complaint/report, the police registered the offence as stated above.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant No.1 – Vikesh Verma would submit that from his possession, stone was seized whereas there is no allegation that stone was used in commission of offence; charge-sheet has been filed; the applicant is in jail since 10.08.2026; he has no criminal antecedents and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some considerable time. Therefore, he would pray that the bail application of applicant Vikesh Verma may be allowed and he may be enlarged on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant. She would submit that the present applicant – Vikesh Verma along with other co-accused persons, in furtherance of their common intention, assaulted the injured persons – Deepak, Virendra and Nikhil. It is also argued that injuries sustained by these injured persons were found

serious in nature, therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

7. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

8. Having considered the fact that the applicant – Vikesh Verma has no criminal antecedents; charge-sheet has already been filed; he is in jail since 10.08.2026; according to contents of F.I.R., stone was not used while inflicting injuries on the body of injured persons and conclusion of trial may take some considerable time, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant No.1 – Vikesh Verma. Accordingly, the instant bail application is **allowed.**

9. It is directed that the applicant No.1 – **Vikesh Verma @ Bugu** shall be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;
(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &
(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

10. The observation made herein above is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only and the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Anjani