NIRMALA
RAO

CGHC010392912026 2026:CGHC:46924

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9813 of 2026

1 - Deepak Chandrakar S/o Dauwaram Chandrakar, Aged About 27 Years R/o Village - Bera, Police Outpost - Khandsara, Police Station And District - Bemetara (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Bemetara, District - Bemetara (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Shri Veer Verma, Advocate.
For Respondent/ State	:	Shri Akash Agrawal, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

5.11.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) for grant of regular bail to the applicant in connection with Crime No.374/2026 registered at Police Outpost Khandsara, Police Station Bemetara, District Bemetara (C.G.) for the offence under Sections 296, 115(2), 351(3) & 109(1) of BNS.

2. According to the prosecution, on 4.6.2026, at around 5:00 p.m., the complainant's younger brother, Tikaram's son Deva Chandrakar, and Deepak Chandrakar, who belong to the same village, were joking with each other. In the meantime, Deepak Chandrakar used obscene language, threatened to kill Deva Chandrakar, picked up a spade kept near the brick kiln, and caused an injury to his head. Thereafter, the aforesaid offences were registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further contend that the applicant has been in jail since 5.6.2026, has no criminal antecedents, and that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude. He would, therefore, pray for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application and submit that the Medical Officer has categorically opined that the injuries sustained by the injured were life-threatening, therefore, submit that the instant application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that the injured sustained grievous injuries and that, in response to the query, the Medical Officer has categorically opined that the injuries sustained by the injured were life-threatening, and further taking into consideration the weapon used by the applicant, i.e., a spade, the manner in which the assault was inflicted, and the fact that the injured remained hospitalised for 12 days, I am not inclined to allow the bail application.

7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is **rejected**.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Nimmi