CGHC010392652026 2026:CGHC:45619

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9518 of 2026

Sheikh Saddam S/o Late Sheikh Naseer Aged About 26 Years R/o Idgah Bhatha, Dera Ke Pass, Thana Azad Chowk Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Police Station Azad Chowk, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikas Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

28.10.2026

1. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 307/2025 registered at Police Station : Azad Chowk, District : Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 296, 115 (2), 351 (2), 118 (1), 191 (3) of BNS and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act.

2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 18.10.2025, a dispute

allegedly arose between the complainant's group and some boys of Eidgah Bhatha at Baijnath Para during the removal of the Sandal Chadar. In connection with the said dispute, on 19.10.2025, at approximately 10:30 PM, the complainant, along with Syed Sohaib Ali, Mohammad Altaf, Mohammad Zakir, Abdul Aziz, Mohammad Aman and Mohammad Amin, went to Eidgah Bhatha to discuss the matter. There, they met Sameer, Awesh, Saif, Sarfaraz, Moin, Sahil Bamga, Junaid and other persons. During the conversation, a verbal altercation allegedly took place, which escalated when the accused persons allegedly assaulted the complainant's group with fists and hands and with weapons, including knives, a *batta* and iron rods, with the alleged intention of causing death. As a result of the alleged assault, the complainant and other persons sustained injuries on different parts of their bodies. The incident was allegedly witnessed by Syed Salim, Mohammad Shaukat, Danish, Altaf Ahmed and other persons present nearby. On the basis of the complainant's report, the police initiated legal proceedings in the matter.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the alleged incident arose out of a sudden altercation between the two groups and the allegations made in the FIR are yet to be established during trial. The applicant undertakes to cooperate with the investigation and trial, shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any of the prosecution witnesses, and shall abide by all the terms and conditions imposed by this Hon’ble Court. The applicant is ready to furnish adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Court. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case,

it is most respectfully prayed that the applicant may kindly be enlarged on bail in the interest of justice.

4. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the allegations levelled against the applicant are serious in nature. It is alleged that the applicant, along with other co-accused persons, assaulted the complainant and other injured persons with fists and hands and deadly weapons, including knives, a *batta* and iron rods, causing injuries to various parts of their bodies. It is further submitted that the alleged incident arose out of a previous dispute between the two groups and that the applicant's release on bail may adversely affect the course of investigation and trial. Therefore, considering the nature and gravity of the allegations and the manner in which the alleged offence was committed, the State strongly opposes the bail application and prays that the same may kindly be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the State and upon perusal of the case record, this Court finds that the allegations levelled against the applicant are serious in nature and during the alleged incident, Mohammad Zakir sustained injuries on his back, Syed Sohaib Ali sustained injuries on his head and abdomen, Abdul Aziz sustained injuries on his head, left arm and thigh, Mohammad Aman sustained injuries on his head and the back of his neck, while the complainant sustained injuries on the knuckle area of his left hand and finger. It is alleged that the injured persons sustained the aforesaid injuries as a result of an assault committed by the applicant and other co-accused persons using fists, knives, a *batta* and

iron rods. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the number of injured persons, the injuries sustained by them and the alleged use of weapons during the occurrence, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the applicant at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is hereby rejected.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh