CGHC010391892026 2026:CGHC:45085

NAFR

VISHAKHA BEOHAR

Digitally signed by VISHAKHA BEOHAR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5336 of 2026

1 - Aarav Suryavanshi S/o Mahendra Suryavanshi, Aged About 11 Years Minor Through Natural Guardian Father Mahendra Suryavanshi S/o Shivprasad Suryavanshi, Aged About 47 Years, R/o House No. 200, Satnamipara, Kormi, Post - Hardikala, Tahsil - Bodri, District Bilaspur C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur C.G.

2 - District Education Officer, Bilaspur District Bilaspur C.G.

3 - Block Education Officer, Bilha, District Bilaspur C.G.

4 - Headmaster, Govt. Janpad Primary School, Kormi, Tahsil - Bodri, District Bilaspur C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vimlesh Bajpai, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. The defect pointed out by the Registry is overruled. Accordingly, the matter is heard finally.

2. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

> *“10.1) This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records pertaining to the case of the petitioner from the possession of the respondents for its kind perusal.*
>
> *10.2) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be direct the respondent no. 1 to 3 to correct the class 5th mark sheet of the petitioner as Suryavanshi in place of Satnami caste.*
>
> *10.3) Any other relief or relief(s) which this Hon'ble Court may think proper in view of the facts and circumstances of the case may also kindly be granted.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner belongs to the Suryavanshi caste, whereas his caste has been erroneously recorded as Satnami in his Class V marksheet. It is further submitted that the caste certificate issued by the competent authority, namely the Gram Panchayat, as well as the petitioner’s educational records and the Dakhil-Kharij Register pertaining to his father, record his caste as Suryavanshi. Learned counsel clarifies that the petitioner is not seeking any declaration regarding his caste in the present petition but is seeking only correction of the erroneous entry in the school record. It is, therefore, contended that the respondents, being public

authorities, are required to consider the petitioner's representation and pass an appropriate reasoned order in accordance with law.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner's request for correction of the caste entry in the school record is required to be examined by the competent authority in accordance with the applicable rules and procedure. It is further submitted that the documents relied upon by the petitioner would require verification before any correction can be made. Accordingly, the petitioner may be directed to approach the competent authority by filing an appropriate representation along with the relevant documents, which may be considered and decided in accordance with law.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and considered the facts and circumstances of the case.

6. Considering the nature of the dispute, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondent authorities, particularly the District Education Officer, Bilaspur, and the concerned Headmaster of the Government Primary School, to examine the petitioner's claim for correction of the caste entry recorded in his Class V marksheet. While considering the claim, the authorities shall duly verify the caste certificate of the petitioner, the relevant educational records and the documents pertaining to his father, and thereafter take an appropriate decision in accordance with law.

7. The aforesaid exercise shall be undertaken after due verification of the relevant documents and in accordance with the applicable rules and procedure.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands **disposed of.**

sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Vishakha