CGHC010391482026 2026:CGHC:45120

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9383 of 2026

Sagar Giri Goswami S/o Santosh Giri Goswami Aged About 27 Years R/o Village - Raita, Police Chauki- Silyari, Thana- Dharsinva, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Sho, Thana- Dharsinva Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Samir Singh, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

26.10.2026

1. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 241/2026 registered at Police Station : Dharsinva, District : Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 305, 331 (4), 3(5) BNS.

2. That the prosecution case, in brief, is that on 10.05.2026, the complainant, namely Tushar Sahu, lodged a report alleging that, in the afternoon, an unknown person entered into his shop and committed theft of his DVR and cash amounting to Rs. 80,000/-. Thereafter, a report was lodged, and the police arrested the applicant for the alleged commission of the offence.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there are only two criminal antecedents; the charge-sheet has already been filed; no article was seized at the instance of the present applicant; and the applicant has been in jail since 23.05.2026. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail to the present applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant has two criminal antecedents and that he committed house trespass and theft. Therefore, the applicant is not entitled to the grant of regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that there is one live criminal antecedent, which is of the year 2025, that the charge-sheet has been filed, that no article was seized at the instance of the present applicant, and that the applicant has been in jail since 23.05.2026, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** to the satisfaction of the

concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b)he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh