CGHC010390322026 2026:CGHC:46700

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9353 of 2026

1 - Vikki Dhritlahare S/o Sukhiram Aged About 20 Years R/o Near Chhota Marghatti, Ashok Nagar, Police Station Gudhiyari, District Raipur (C.G.), (Description Mentioned As Per Charge Sheet)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Gudhiyari, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Shri Bhaskar Payashi, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Ms. Ritika Verma, P.L.

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY

Order on Board

04/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.300/2026 registered at Police Station Gudhiyari, District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Section 309(4) of BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 24.7.2026, at about 10:00–11:00 p.m., complainant Lakhan Yadav was proceeding from Gudhiyari, Raipur, towards his village, Shivpuri. On the way, he allegedly encountered the present applicant, who committed theft of Rs. 85,000/- that the complainant was carrying with him. A named FIR was lodged against the present applicant on 25.7.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would contend that the charge-sheet has been filed, the applicant has been in jail since 25.7.2026, he has no criminal antecedents, and the trial may take some time to conclude. Therefore, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that an amount of Rs. 84,000/- was recovered at the instance of the present applicant and that a named FIR was lodged against him. Therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the allegations made against the applicant, the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed, that the applicant has been in jail since 25.7.2026, and that he has no criminal antecedents, and without commenting on the merits of the case, I am inclined to allow the bail application. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement,

threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observations made in the course of this order are only for considering the case of the applicants on the application for grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by the observations made in the course of this order.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)

JUDGE

Nimmi