CGHC010389692026 2026:CGHC:43142

SOURABH BHILWAR
Digitally signed by SOURABH BHILWAR
Date: 2026.10.07 10:35:06 +0530

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 7274 of 2026**

Videshi Kumar Patel S/o Late Shri N. Lal Patel Aged About 58 Years Occupation Time Keeper, R/o House No. 71 Ward No. 48 Malipara Raigarh, Tahsil And Distt. Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

**... Petitioner**

**versus**

**1 -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**2 -** The Engineer In Chief, Public Works Department, Sirpur Bhawan, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

**3 -** The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bilaspur Division, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

**4 -** The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Raigarh Division, Distt. Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

**5 -** The Joint Director, Treasury Account And Pension Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent(s)**

**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

| | |
|---|---|
| For Petitioner(s) | : Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate |
| For Respondents/ State | : Ms. Vartika Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer |

**Hon’ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge**

**Order on Board**

**06/10/2026**

1. The petitioner has filled the instant writ petition seeking the following reliefs:-

> “10.1 That this Hon'ble Court may kindly pleased to direct the respondent authorities to pay the regular pay scale from the date of completion of 03 years (i.e. 01.08.1991) which the other similarly situated employees has been posted in regular pay scale;
>
> 10.2 That this Hon'ble Court kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to grant the benefit of higher pay scale to the petitioner after revise the salary as per the gazette notification dated 14.10.1982.
>
> 10.3 That this Hon'ble Court kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to pay the arrears of salary to the petitioner with interest.
>
> 10.4 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may also be passed in favor of the petitioner together with cost of the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that in similarly situated facts and the question of law, a batch of the writ petition, the leading case of which was **Writ Petition (S) No. 2904 of 2005 [Dwarikadas Vaishnav & another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh) and others]** has been considered and decided by the Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.12.2012, in which, the petitioners, in those cases, have been granted liberty to file a fresh representation before competent authority, therefore, this petition may also be disposed of in light of that order directing the respondents-Competent Authority to consider the case of the petitioner for revision of his pay scale in accordance with the Notification dated 14.10.1992 (which was modified subsequently as 14.10.1982) issued by State of Madhya Pradesh, as the petitioner was earlier working as daily wage employee and subsequently, his services was regularized.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents would submit that earlier the respondent/State had already considered the issue of the petitioners in the light of the judgment passed by State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench in **Laxmi Narayan Upadhyay v. State of M.P.**, however, she submits that if the petitioner files a fresh representation, the State will consider his case in light of the notification as mentioned above.

4. In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this petition is disposed of. If the petitioner files a fresh representation before the competent authority, the same shall be

considered and decided by the competent authority in light of the aforesaid notification expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a certified copy of this order.

5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-**

**(Bibhu Datta Guru)**
**JUDGE**

$. Bhilwar