CGHC010386982026 2026:CGHC:46062

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1632 of 2026

1 - Pramod Kesharwani S/o Govardhan Kesharwani, Aged About 45 Years R/o Kamal Vihar, Sector - 11A, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

--- Appellant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Tikrapara, District Raipur C.G.

--- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Applicant : Mr. Virendra Kashyap, Advocate

For Respondent/State : Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

30/10/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No. 836/2026 registered at Police Station – Tikrapara, Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN
Digitally signed by BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN

2. As per the prosecution case, a report was lodged by the complainant alleging that in the year 2023, applicant induced him by claiming that he could arrange government jobs through his contacts with government officials. Believing his representation, the complainant paid him ₹3,78,000/-, including ₹20,000/- through PhonePe and the

remaining amount in cash, for securing jobs for his relatives. Although Parmeshwar Markam allegedly worked for about four months at Kawardha Tehsil Office on the basis of a joining letter provided by the applicant, no permanent employment or other promised jobs were secured. Despite repeated demands, the applicant neither returned the money nor remained in contact.

3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is the applicant who has extended the hand loan to complainant of Rs.5.00 lakhs, which complainant was not returning. Applicant thereafter, has lodged a report in the concerned police station on 15.07.2026. After getting the knowledge, as a counter blast, false report has been lodged against applicant that applicant procured Rs.3,78,000/- on the pretext of providing government job. He further submits that applicant is person of high repute and only to save himself from the arrest, some how manage to arrange the funds of Rs.1,90,000/- to be paid to the complainant. Copy of D.D. is filed along with covering memo, however, the said DD is not being accepted by the complainant. Hence, it is prayed that applicant may be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant. He submits that there is specific allegation against applicant that on the false promise to provide government job, applicant procured Rs.3,78,000/- from complainant. He also submits that in view of the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant that DD is not being accepted and the observation made by this Court he has verified the fact upon which it is informed that complainant is not

intending to accept the DD towards the amount to be paid by applicant.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant has no previous criminal antecedents, therefore, prays that applicant may be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

6. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the documents placed on record.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission made by learned counsel for respective parties, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is allowed. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant in connection with the aforesaid offence, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:-

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram