CGHC010386792026 2026:CGHC:43020

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1622 of 2026

Arif Khan S/o Late Mohammad Khalil Aged About 40 Years R/o Eidgahbhata In Front Of Kabristan , Raipur, Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

ALFIZA BAIG

Digitally signed by ALFIZA BAIG
Date: 2026.10.06 15:07:35 +0530

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, P.S. Golbazar, Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

... **Respondents**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Ishwar Jaiswal, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Ramnarayan Sahu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

05.10.2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 124/2026 registered at Police Station-Golbazar, District- Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 109,115(2), 296 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that on 26.08.2026 when the procession of Eid-Milad-un-Nabi reached near the square of Tatyapara Chowk, Raipur, complainant along with his friends were also present. At

that time, applicant came there and started abusing them and assaulted Adil in which the applicant tried to intervene and thereafter the applicant took out the knife and gave a blow which resulted in injury upon the complainant Azhar on his buttocks. Adit too suffered an injury over his head. The incident was reported to the concerned Police Station and based upon which the aforementioned crime was registered in which applicant is apprehending his arrest.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any offence as alleged. He further submits that though there was assault and the complainant and Adil suffered injury but the applicant did not caused the injury by means of knife as alleged. He further submits that according to instructions none of the injured were admitted in hospital. Also there is no criminal antecedent registered against the present applicant. Complainant is present in the Court today and is represented by his counsel states that he is having no objection to the bail application. Hence the present applicant be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that there are specific allegation against the present applicant. Applicant stated to have assaulted the complainant and Adil in which Adil suffered head injury whereas the complainant Azhar suffered injury on his buttock.

5. However, upon putting specific query with regard to the nature of injuries sustained by the injured persons, he states that as per the MLC report simple injury has been caused to the complainant and Adil. He

also clarifies that there is no criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant.

6. Mohammad Parvez Khan, learned counsel submits that he is appearing on behalf of the complainant and the complainant himself is also present before this Court. Complainant submits that he is having no objection if the applicant be enlarged on bail.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

8. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

9. Accordingly, application is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

> (i)that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
>
> (ii)that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
>
> (iii)that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and
>
> (iv)that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

10. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

Alfiza