CGHC010386562026 2026:CGHC:43414

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7337 of 2026

Ajay Singh Thakur S/o Late Suresh Singh Thakur Aged About 41 Years R/o Ward No. 09, Rajmahal Colony, Kawardha, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh 491995

... Petitioner

versus

1 - The State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh 492002

2 - The Engineer In Chief Public Health Engineering Department, Indravati Bhavan, Nawa Raipur Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department, Raipur Zone, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - The Superintending Engineer Public Health Engineering Department, Circle - Durg Circle, Chhattisgarh

5 - The Executive Engineer Public Health Engineering Department, Division Kabirdham, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ashwin Panickar, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Ms. Apurva Nigam, PL

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Bibhu Datta Guru)

Order on Board

07/10/2026

ASHUTOSH MISHRA
Digitally signed by ASHUTOSH MISHRA
Date: 2026.10.08 10:27:47 +0530

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner died in harness while working as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employee was entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the said benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of ***Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022***, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of ***Faguvaram Patel (supra)*** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as the petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representations to be submitted by petitioner, at this stage, he is having no objection.

3. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the documents available in record.

4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, this petition is disposed of in light of ***Faguvaram Patel (Supra)*** and the petitioner is at liberty to make representation within a period fo 15 days from receipt of copy of this order. In the event, the petitioner is found to be similarly situated person, his claim shall be decided by the respondents in accordance with law expeditiously

preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation.

5. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

6. C.C. as per rules.

SD/-
(Bibhu Datta Guru)
JUDGE

ashu