CGHC010384842026 2026:CGHC:46660

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9230 of 2026

Yogiraj Sonwani Son Of Mithlesh Sonwani, Aged About 18 Years Resident Of Village - Sakri Ward No. 8, Police Station - Abhanpur, District - Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Station House Officer, Police Station-Abhanpur, District-Raipur (C.G.) **... Non-Applicant**

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.11.04 17:08:07 +0530

For Applicant	:	Shri Krishna Kumar Dewangan, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Sameeksha Gupta, Panel Lawyer

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)

Order on Board

04/11/2026

1. This is the **First bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.86/2026 registered at Police Station Abhanpur, District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 309(4), 296, 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (for short, the BNS) and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, an F.I.R. was lodged by Umendra Kumar Dewangan at Police Station Abhanpur on 15.03.2026 making allegations that on 14.03.2026 while he was going to home, two

persons stopped his motorcycle and inflicted knife injury and committed loot of Rs.1,50,000/-, which the complainant was carrying in a bag. On such complaint, the police registered the offence against the applicant, as stated above.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that only Rs.5,000/- was recovered from the present applicant. It is also contended by him that a Test Identification Parade was conducted wherein the applicant and co-accused person were identified. He would further submit that charge-sheet has been filed; he has no criminal antecedents and he is in jail since 16.06.2026 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some considerable time. Therefore, he would pray that the bail application may be allowed and the applicant may be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant. She would submit that the present applicant and co-accused person, on point of knife committed loot of Rs.1,50,000/-. She would further contend that that the complainant was assaulted by present applicant and co-accused; though the injuries are simple in nature, considering the manner in which the offence was committed, the applicant does not deserve the concession of bail, and the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the allegation made against the applicant; gravity of offence; charge-sheet has been filed; applicant has no criminal

antecedents; only Rs. 5,000/- was recovered on instance of the applicant and conclusion of trial may take some considerable time, without commenting anything on merits of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the instant bail application is **allowed.**

7. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;
(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &
(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. The observation made herein above is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only and the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Anjani