CGHC010384532026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9421 of 2026

1 - Ramkumar @ Kumar Verma S/o Late Jagatram Verma Aged About 67 Years R/o Village Pausari, Police Station Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh **... Applicant(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer Police Station Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh-- **Non-applicant**

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Satya Prakash Verma, Advocate
For Non-applicant	**:**	Ms. Laxmeen Kashyap, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

02/11/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 334/2026, registered at Police Station Palari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh for the offence punishable under Sections 20(B) of the NDPS Act.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the officers of Police Station concerned received a secret information from the informant and on the basis of the said information conducted a raid and seized 1.218 Kg of Ganja from the possession of the applicant, thereafter, Police has registered an aforesaid offence against the present applicant.

Digitally signed by JYOTI JHA
Date: 2026.11.03 10:32:29 +0530

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case and he is innocent. He would further submit that the applicant has one criminal antecedent of similar nature, which has already been disposed of. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is in jail since 11.08.2026, the charge-sheet has already been filed, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude; thus, he would pray that the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. He would further submit that 1.218 Kg of Ganja was seized from the possession of the present applicant and he is having one criminal antecedent under the provision of NDPS Act registered in the year 2015, therefore, he is not entitled to grant of regular bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly that the seized contraband article (1.218 kg of Ganja) is of intermediate quantity, which is below commercial quantity; further charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant has one previous criminal antecedent of similar nature which has already been disposed of, he is in judicial custody since 11.08.2026, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on regular bail. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail, on following conditions :-

(I) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court,

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, and

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
Rakesh Mohan Pandey
JUDGE

Jyoti