CGHC010384202026 2026:CGHC:43174

RUCHI YADAV Digitally signed by RUCHI YADAV

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5077 of 2026

1 - Bank Of India Through Authorised Officer, Ramarao Bongani, S/o Shri Satyanarayana, Aged About 48 Years, Bank Of India, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Revenue And Disaster Management Department, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - District Magistrate Cum Collector Durg, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Ram Kishor Soni S/o Chandra Pal Soni R/o House No. 01, Khasra No. 65/2, 66/2, Patwari Halka No. 13/18, Near Sundar Vihar, Raj Mahal Town, Kurud, Ward No. 16, Bhilai, Durg, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.

4 - Anupama Lily Soni W/o Ram Kishor Soni R/o House No. 01, Khasra No. 65/2, 66/2, Patwari Halka No. 13/18, Near Sundar Vihar, Raj Mahal Town, Kurud, Ward No. 16, Bhilai, Durg, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS Periphery)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Saket Pandey, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Abhyuday Tripathi, P.L. appears on advance copy

SB: Hon’ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

06/10/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

> “10.1. That, the records pertaining to the revenue case of the petitioner, may be kindly called for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.
>
> 10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly direct the respondent authority to decide the Revenue Case No. 202504100100023/61/2024-2025 as per section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act of 2002, in the interest of justice.
>
> 10.3. That any other relief which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and just in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner/Bank has filed an application under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, before the concerned District Magistrate which has been pending since 15.04.2025. He, therefore, submits that a necessary direction may be issued to the concerned District Magistrate to consider and decide the said application expeditiously.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner. Accordingly, a limited order is being passed.

4. Heard counsel for the paries and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the concerned District Magistrate is directed to consider and decide the application filed by the petitioner under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, the present petition stands **disposed of.**

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge

Ruchi