CGHC010383682026 2026:CGHC:45121

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9377 of 2026

Shekh Sahid S/o Shekh Vakil Aged About 19 Years R/o Rajbandha Makan Ke Peeche, Maudahapara, Thana Maudahapara, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through -Police Station Maudahapara, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikas Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

26.10.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 77 of 2026 registered at Police Station: Maudahapara, District: Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 296 of BNS and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act.

2. That, as per the prosecution story, in brief, is that on 29/04/2026, the

complainant, namely, Nijamuddin, lodged a written report against the applicant, stating that on 29/04/2026, at about 5:00 p.m., while he was going home on his motorcycle near Dainik Bhaskar Press, Maudahapara, he encountered the applicant. When the complainant asked the applicant why he had stopped him from setting up his shop, the applicant started abusing him in filthy language, making derogatory remarks about his mother and sister. Thereafter, with the intention of killing the complainant, the applicant stabbed him in the left rib area and left hand with a knife taken from a nearby watermelon cart parked there. On the basis of the written complaint, the police registered an FIR against the applicant vide Crime No. 77/2026 for the aforesaid offence. A copy of the FIR is filed herewith as *Annexure A/2*.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the charge-sheet has been filed, the applicant has been in jail since 30.04.2026, he has only one criminal antecedent, which was registered in 2025, and the injured person was hospitalized for only five days. Therefore, the present application may be allowed.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and submits that, according to the medical report, the injuries sustained by the injured person are grievous in nature and life-threatening. She further contends that the applicant inflicted four incised injuries, including one puncture injury to the abdomen, using an iron knife. She also submits that the applicant has one criminal antecedent. Therefore, she prays that the present application be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the case diary, particularly the medical report and the nature and gravity of the injuries sustained by the injured person, this Court finds that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. The medical report indicates that the injured person sustained grievous and life-threatening injuries, including four incised injuries and a puncture injury to the abdomen, allegedly inflicted with an iron knife. Having regard to the nature of the injuries and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is rejected.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh