CGHC010383462026 2026:CGHC:46654

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9318 of 2026

1 - Sunil Yadav S/o - Late Gyanlal Yadav Aged About 25 Years R/o - Ward No. 9 Madanpurgarh, P.S. And Tehsil - Baloda, District - Janjgir-Champa, C.G.

... Applicant

REKHA SINGH
Digitally signed by REKHA SINGH
DN: cn=REKHA SINGH, ou=HIGH COURT, o=HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, st=Chhattisgarh, c=IN
Date: 2026.11.04 15:04:44 +0530

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, Police Station - Baloda, Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

04.11.2026

1. Heard.

2. The victim appeared along with her father through Video Conferencing and raised no objection for grant of bail to the present applicant.

3. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 453/2026 registered at Police Station Baloda, Janjgir Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 74 & 332(C) of the Bhartiya

Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

4. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 15.09.2026, at about 11:30 p.m., while the victim was sleeping in her house, the present applicant entered the house and touched her hands and shoulders with the intention of outraging her modesty. The applicant was caught hold by the family members of the victim, and subsequently, an FIR was lodged. Hence, the present bail application.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the present applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the case. He would further submit that there were cordial relations between the family of the applicant and the family of the victim. He would contend that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, the charge-sheet has been filed, he is in jail since 16.09.2026, and the conclusion of the trial may take some time, thus, he prays for grant of regular bail to the present applicant.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that the present applicant entered the house of the victim with intention of sexually molesting her and was caught hold by the family members of the victim. He would further contend that, looking to the nature and seriousness of the offence, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail. Hence, he prays for rejection of the bail application.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the State and perused the case diary.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations, particularly the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, the charge-sheet has been filed, and he is in jail since 16.09.2026, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the

case, this Court is of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed.**

9. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Rekha