CGHC010382752026 2026:CGHC:46583

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9147 of 2026

Anjani Prajapati Son Of Late Indar Lal Prajapati Aged About 40 Years Resident Of Village Bahrasi, Police Station Janakpur, District Manendragarh - Chirmiri - Bharatpur (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Janakpur, District Manendragarh - Chirmiri - Bharatpur (C.G.).

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Ms. Deeksha Pandey, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Ramsajiwan, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

03.11.2026

1. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 153/2026 registered at Police Station : Janakpur, District : Manendragarh-Chirmiri-Bharatpur (C.G.) for the offence

punishable under Section 34 (2) of Excise Act.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the police of Police Station Janakpur received secret information from an informant and, acting upon the said information, conducted a raid and seized 10 bulk litres of illicit liquor from the possession of the applicant. Thereafter, the aforesaid offence was registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the charge-sheet has been filed, the applicant has been in judicial custody since 13.07.2026, he has no criminal antecedents, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State/non-applicant opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court. He also does not dispute the submission that the applicant has no criminal antecedents. He further submits that 10 bulk litres of illicit liquor were seized from the possession of the applicant; therefore, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed, the

applicant has been in judicial custody since 13.07.2026, he has no criminal antecedents, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in the present case.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b)he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh