NIRMALA
RAO

CGHC010379702026 2026:CGHC:44112

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9077 of 2026

1 - Devlal Kamar Pahadiya S/o Thaniram Aged About 46 Years R/o Village Bhithideeh Police Station Pithora, Tehsil Pithora, District Mahasamund C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer, Police Of Excise Circle Pithora District Mahasamund C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikram Pratap, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Smriti Shrivastava, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

12.10.2026

1) The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.94/2026, registered at Police Station Excise Circle Pithora, District Mahasamund (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act.

2) The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the police of Police Station Excise Circle Pithora, District Mahasamund (C.G.), received information from the informant and, on the basis of the said information, conducted a raid and seized 30 bulk litres of country-made liquor from the possession of the present applicant. Thereafter, the police registered the aforesaid offence against the present applicant.

3) It is argued by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that 30 bulk litres of country-made liquor was allegedly seized from the possession of the present applicant and that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, he is in jail since 30.8.2026, and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the present applicant.

4) On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. He further submits that 30 bulk litres of country-made liquor was seized from the possession of the present applicant; therefore, he is not entitled to grant of regular bail.

5) I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case-diary.

6) Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, and further considering that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, charge-sheet has been filed, he is in jail since 30.8.2026, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to grant

regular bail to the present applicant.

7) It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Nimmi