CGHC010377742026 2026:CGHC:46582

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9157 of 2026

Narendra Kurre S/o Mansai Kurre Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Kesgawan, Police Station And Tahsil - Sonhat, District Koriya Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Surajpur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Sangeeet Kumar Kushwaha, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

03.11.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 249/2025, registered at Police Station – Surajpur District – Surajpur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 317(4), 318(2) & 61(2)(A) of the BNS and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act.

2. The prosecution story in brief, is that on the occurrence of the cyber fraud, various victims have lodged their complaints through online medium layer-1 operated in various bank branches. In co-ordination with the Samanvaya portal operated by Indian Cyber Crime Co-ordination Centre (in short 14C). Thereafter, in relation to take action on this regard, the copy of complaints received by the Office of Inspector General of Police Raipur Chhattisgarh. On such complaint the investigation was carried out and during investigation it was revealed that, one of the Co-accused namely Anand Kumar Kurre and present applicant have used Bank of Maharastra and two other co-accused namely Pushpendra Patel and Priyanshu Patel (now both dead) account of Axis bank was used as mule accounts. Moreover, as per the investigation and final report filed by the investigating agency on 18 complaints letter the total about 10 bank accounts were used and sum of total 3,99,800/- (three lakh ninety nine thousand eight hundred Rupees) transaction was made in cyber fraud. Hence, this bail application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case. He further submits that the investigating agency has failed to collect any cogent or material evidence against the applicant and that the investigation itself suffers from several discrepancies. Despite the absence of any such evidence, the applicant has been arrested without any justifiable or lawful reason. It is further submitted that the applicant has no role whatsoever in the commission of the alleged offence and that no incriminating material, such as bank account statements or any other

documentary evidence, has been seized to establish his involvement. He further submits that the co-accused, Anish Kumar, has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 07.01.2026 passed in MCRC No. 9117 of 2025. Therefore, learned counsel prays for the grant of bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed in the present case and that the applicant has committed fraud. Therefore, he is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, and the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court, that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, that he has been in judicial custody since 07.09.2026, and that the co-accused, Anish Kumar, has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 07.01.2026 passed in MCRC No. 9117 of 2025, this Court is of the considered opinion that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in the present case.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs. 50,000/-** to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) he shall make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required;

(b)he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from

disclosing such fact to the Court; and

(c) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Saurabh