CGHC010376842026 2026:CGHC:43055

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9107 of 2026

Akash Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Bhagat Ram Sahu Aged About 35 Years R/o Bagdai Mandir, Near D.L.S. College Ashok Nagar, P.S. Sarkanda, Distt- Bilaspur (C.G.)

--- Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Sarkanda, Distt- Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Applicant	:	Mr. Ravish Verma, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu
Order On Board

05/10/2026

BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN
Digitally signed by BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN

1. Applicant has filed this first bail application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 940 of 2026, registered at Police Station – Sarkanda, District – Bilaspur (C.G.) for offence punishable under Section 318 (4), 338, 336 (2), 340 (3), 61 (2) and 3 (5) of B.N.S..

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that, applicant along with other co-accused persons is involved in preparing false case of snake bite death case in order to get benefit of Rs.4.00 lakhs from government. The allegation against the applicant is that he is running computer typing shop and forged documents have been prepared in his shop.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that if any document is prepared from his shop, he was not aware as typing might have been done at the instance of persons, who came there. He also submits that applicant was arrested on 11.08.2026. Investigation in this case is complete and charge-sheet in this case has been filed and no further custodial interrogation is required. He also submits that, after applicant was implicated in one case, he was subsequently made an accused in all other cases of a similar nature registered by the police within close proximity of time and place. Therefore, learned counsel prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the submissions of learned counsel for applicant and submits that allegation against applicant is of preparing forged and fabricated documents based upon which, claim has been made under the policy of the State Government for obtaining benefit of Rs.4.00 lakhs against snake bite death. She however, do not dispute the submission of learned counsel for applicant that investigation in this case has been complete and charge-sheet has been filed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Taking into consideration facts and circumstances of case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for respective parties and further that charge-sheet in this case has been filed and applicant is in jail since 11.08.2026, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to allow the bail application.

7. Accordingly, bail application is **allowed**. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on regular bail, upon furnishing a bail bond in the sum of ₹ 25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court on the conditions that-

(a) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(b) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through him counsel. In case of him absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(c) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(d) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram