NIRMALA RAO

CGHC010374532026 2026:CGHC:45415

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9345 of 2026

1 - Laxman Baghel S/o Chandela Prasad Baghel Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Parasda, Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant/s	:	Shri Aniruddh Singh and Shri Abhishek Dubey, Advocates.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Shri Akash Agrawal, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

27.10.2026

1) This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.756/2026 registered at Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(3), 115(2), 331(6), 117(2) & 3(5) of BNS.

2) As per the case of the prosecution, the complainant, Dhajaram Lahare, lodged an FIR alleging that the present applicant, along with three other accused persons, forcibly entered his house, abused him in filthy language, and assaulted him with an iron pipe and fists. On the basis of the said complaint, the police registered an offence against the present applicant under the provisions mentioned above.

3) Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that another FIR was lodged by Dhajaram, making similar allegations, but the time of the incident was changed from 1:00 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. He would further contend that the charge-sheet has already been filed, that the applicant has been in jail since 8.9.2026, that he has one subsisting criminal antecedent registered at the instance of the complainant, Dhajaram, vide Crime No.748 of 2026, and that the trial may take some time to conclude. He would, therefore, pray for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4) On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application and submit that Section 117 of the BNS was subsequently added to the offences alleged against the applicant. He would further submit that the present applicant and the other co-accused persons assaulted the complainant and his companions with iron rods and by using their hands and fists. He would contend that the injuries sustained by the injured persons are serious in nature and, therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5) I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6) Having considered the fact that the applicant has one subsisting criminal antecedent; that the charge-sheet has already been filed; that

the injuries sustained by the applicant are not serious in nature; and that he has been in jail since 8.9.2026, this Court, without commenting on the merits of the case, is inclined to allow the bail application. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7) Let the applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observations made in the course of this order are only for considering the case of the applicants on the application for grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by the observations made in the course of this order.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Nimmi