CGHC010373292026 2026:CGHC:45159

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1605 of 2026

1 - Ayush Singh S/o Sanjay Kumar Singh Aged About 24 Years (Fathers Name Mentioned As Sanjeev Kumar Singh In The Charge Sheet), R/o House No. 28, Bengali Colony, Near Ganesh Bakery, New Khursipar, Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Tarbahar, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh **---- Respondent**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Virendra Kashyap, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Dharmesh Shrivastava, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

26.10.2026

Heard.

1) The applicant has preferred the present bail application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.155/2026

registered at Police Station : Tarbahar, District Bilaspur (C.G.) for the commission of an offence punishable under Sections 318 (4), 112, 3(5) & 317 (4) of the BNS.

2) The prosecution case, in brief, is that the concerned Police is investigating an offence related to monetary transactions through alleged 'mule accounts'. According to the prosecution, co-accused Deepesh Gupta, Navneet Mishra, and Raja were previously known to accused Rishabh Sahu. The prosecution has no evidence to prove that the said accused received any financial benefit, operated any account, or that his role was anything more than highly limited and peripheral in nature. There is also no allegation in the case that any amount obtained from the alleged offence was deposited into the accused’s account or that any financial gain was earned by him. According to the prosecution, on 13.05.2026 at around 15:10 hours, a police team acting on a tip-off from an informant apprehended a co-accused named Dipesh Kumar Gupta near the State Bank of India, Vyapar Vihar, Bilaspur. It was alleged that he was alluring passersby to share their bank account details in exchange for a commission. Upon searching the co-accused Dipesh Kumar Gupta, a Samsung mobile phone was seized. On checking his WhatsApp chats, various bank account numbers were found exchanged with individuals named Vicky Pandit, Shubham Patel, Ayush, Aamir, and Almas. The prosecution alleges that co-accused persons were running an organized racket operating "mule accounts" to facilitate cyber fraud and financial

laundering. During the probe, the name of the accused surfaced. Thereafter FIR was registered against the applicant. Hence the bail application.

3) Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the crime. He would further submit that the charge sheet has already been filed and the applicant has no criminal antecedents. He would contend that the co-accused have already been released on anticipatory bail vide order dated 23.7.2026 passed in MCRCA No.859/2026, and regular bail vide order dated 10.08.2026 passed in MCRC/4974/2026, MCRC /6813/2026 & MCRC/5809/2026 and thus, he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail to the present applicant.

4) On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the present applicant.

5) I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6) Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the charge sheet has already been submitted against the applicant; the applicant has no criminal antecedents; co-accused have already been released on anticipatory bail and regular bail by this Court vide orders dated 23.7.2026 & 10.08.2026, respectively, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present

applicant. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed.**

7) It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant in connection with the aforesaid offence, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of **Rs.50,000/-** to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, on the following conditions:-

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the concerned investigating officer as and when required.

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8) The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail applications only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Rekha