CGHC010372322026 2026:CGHC:43655

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.10.08 18:00:11 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8941 of 2026

*** -** Mohammad Ashraf Ali S/o. Shri Eid Ali, Aged About 22 Years R/o Shastri Nagar, New Ganj Mandi Road, Fafadih, Police Station - Ganj Fafadih, District Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Khamhardih, Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Non-Applicant**

And

MCRC No. 8945 of 2026

*** -** Mohammad Ashraf Ali S/o. Shri Eid Ali, Aged About 22 Years R/o Shastri Nagar, New Ganj Mandi Road, Fafadih, Police Station - Ganj Fafadih, District - Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Khamhardih, Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Non-Applicant**

And

MCRC No. 8947 of 2026

*** -** Mohammad Ashraf Ali S/o. Shri Eid Ali Aged About 22 Years R/o Shastri Nagar, New Ganj Mandi Road, Fafadih, Police Station - Ganj Fafadih, District - Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Khamhardih, Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.)

--- **Non-Applicant**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Gajendra Prakash Sahu, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Mr. Kawal Jeet Singh Saini, Deputy Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

08/10/2026

1. As all these are the first bail applications under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 preferred by the same applicant arise out of different crime numbers i.e. Crime Nos. 186/2026; 294/2025 & 182/2026 registered at Police Station-Khamhardih, District Raipur (CG) for commission of offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 317(2) and 3(5) of BNS, therefore, they are being disposed of by this common order.

2. As per case of prosecution, an F.I.R. was lodged by Balram Sundrani on 09.12.2025 with regard to theft of his scooty making statement that his scooty was stolen by some unknown person on 04.12.2025. The said F.I.R. was registered against unknown person. Subsequently 05 other F.I.Rs. were registered against unknown person, wherein allegation of commission of theft was made. The the present applicant was taken into custody on 16.06.2026 and on the basis of his memorandum, the applicant was made accused in 05 other cases.

3. Mr. Gajendra Prakash Sahu, learned Advocate appearing for the applicant would argue that though there are 03 criminal antecedents of the applicant, but he was made an accused in all those cases on 16.06.2026 on the basis of his memorandum. It is also contended that Crime Nos. 792/2025, 529/2025 and 08/2026 have already been disposed of on account of compromise. He would submit that the applicant has approached this Court for grant of bail in connection with Crime Nos. 186/2026; 294/2025 & 182/2026. He would further submit that in all these crime numbers, charge-sheet have already been filed,

the applicant was formally arrested on 03.07.2026 in these cases and is in jail since 16.06.2026, therefore, the applicant may be granted bail in these bail applications.

4. On the other hand, Mr. Kawal Jeet Singh Saini, learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the State/non-applicant would submit that there are 05 criminal antecedents of the applicant, therefore, these bail applications deserve to be rejected. It is also argued that nothing was recovered on the instance of the present applicant.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that on 16.06.2026, the applicant was taken into custody and on the basis of his memorandum, he was made accused in 05 other cases making allegation that he committed theft; further Crime Nos. 792/2025, 529/2025 and 08/2026 have already been disposed of on account of compromise, nothing was recovered on the instance of the applicant, charge-sheet has already been filed and he is in jail from 16.06.2026, therefore, I am inclined to allow these bail applications. Accordingly, all the bail applications are **allowed**.

7. It is directed that, in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- in each case, with one surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court, the applicant shall be released on bail subject to the following conditions :-

I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact

to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the applications for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti