CGHC010368492026 2026:CGHC:45056

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.10.28 10:54:21 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9041 of 2026

*** -** Amit Sharma S/o Santosh Sharma Aged About 21 Years R/o Churar Mendia, P.S. - Chunar, District Mirzapur Uttar Pradesh Present Address - Ramnagar Industrial Area Kataria, P.S. - Mughalsarai, District Chandauli, Uttar Pradesh

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Ambikapur, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Ms. Seema Verma, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Ms. Priya Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 as he is in jail since 17.02.2026 in connection with Crime No. 107/2026 registered in Police Station – Ambikapur, District Surguja (CG) for commission of offence punishable under Section 20(C) of NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the Police of Police Station Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.) seized 21.400 Kgs of contraband article i.e. *Ganja* from joint possession of present applicant and co-accused namely Devchand Yadav and Rama Behra, thereafter, aforesaid offence has been registered against the applicant and co-accused persons.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the case. She would contend that the applicant has no criminal antecedent. She would submit that charge-sheet has already been filed and the applicant is in jail from 17.02.2026. Thus, she would pray for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State/non-applicant would contend that the police after getting secret information, seized total 21.400 Kgs of *Ganja* from joint possession of the applicant and co-accused. She would submit that recovered quantity of *Ganja* is of above commercial quantity, therefore, the stringent condition contained in Section 37 of NDPS would attract in the present case. She would submit that the bail application of the present applicant deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that total 21.400 Kgs of *Ganja* was seized from the possession of the applicant and co-accused, further taking into consideration that the stringent condition contained in Section 37 of NDPS would attract in the present case, therefore, I am not inclined to release the applicant on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, the instant bail application of the applicant is **rejected**. However, looking to the period of custody of the applicant, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as early as possible.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti