CGHC010366872026 2026:CGHC:46034

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8760 of 2026

1 - Sunil Yadav S/o Ashok Yadav, Aged About 32 Years R/o Sitamani Kunj Nagar, Thana Kotwali, District Korba (C.G.)

... Applicant(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Police Station Kotwali, Korba, District Korba (C.G.) **.. Respondent**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vikas Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Ms. Priya Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

Digitally signed by JYOTI JHA
Date: 2026.11.02 10:46:36 +0530

30.10.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 476/2026, registered at Police Station Kotwali Korba, District Korba (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 74, 351(3), 331(4) of BNS, 08 of POCSO Act.

2 The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant, who is the aunt of the victim, lodged a written report on 28.05.2026 at Police Station Kotwali, Korba, District Korba, alleging that the victim had informed her that on 27.05.2026, at about 01:00 a.m., the applicant en-

tered her house and attempted to outrage her modesty. On the basis of the said report, the police registered the FIR against the applicant for the aforesaid offences and took up the investigation.

3 In pursuance of the notice dated 21.09.2026 issued to the victim, today the victim and her aunt appeared before this Court through video conferencing from concerned DLSA and have raised objection to releasing the applicant on bail.

4 Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. No offence as alleged was committed by the applicant. The charge-sheet has already been filed and the applicant is in jail since 15.07.2026. He, therefore, prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

5 On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the victim was aged about 15 years at the time of the incident and has already been examined before the Trial Court, wherein she has supported the prosecution case. He further submits that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and, therefore, application moved by the applicant for grant of bail may be rejected.

6 I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, the victim has categorically narrated the incident and the manner in which the alleged offence was committed and made

specific allegations against the present applicant, the age of the victim being 15 years at the time of the incident, and the serious allegations of sexual exploitation levelled against the applicant, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the present bail application is **rejected.**

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Jyoti