NIRMALA
RAO

CGHC010362952026 2026:CGHC:43282

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8902 of 2026

1 - Satnam Singh S/o Shri Balveer Singh Aged About 35 Years R/o Veersavkar Nagar Hirapur, District Raipur C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer, Police Station Singhoda, District Mahasamund C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Shri Vikas Pandey and Shri Sanjay Kumar Soni, Advocates.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Shri Keshav Gupta, G.A.

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY

Order on Board

06/10/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.66/2020 registered at Police Station Singhoda, District Mahasamund (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 34 of IPC.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that an FIR was lodged against the present applicant on 24.8.2020, alleging that the applicant was in possession of a forged permit in respect of the vehicle bearing registration No. CG-04-JD-1539. It is stated in the FIR that the forged document was produced by the applicant during the course of special checking of the vehicle on 22.8.2020.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would contend that the applicant is a permanent resident of Raipur and is engaged in the transport business. He would submit that the police authorities never informed the applicant about the registration of the FIR; that the forged document was not prepared by the applicant; and that the applicant never used the said document for any monetary benefit. He would further submit that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, the charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant has been in jail since 15.7.2026, and the trial may take some time to conclude. Therefore, he would pray for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application and submit that the said document was found in the possession of the applicant during the course of vehicle checking. He would contend that there are serious allegations against the applicant. Therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that the forged document was not prepared by the present applicant and that the said document was never used for any monetary benefit, that he has no criminal antecedents, that the charge-sheet has already been filed, that he has been in jail since

15.7.2026, and that the trial may take some time to conclude, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in the present case. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Nimmi