CGHC010357722026 2026:CGHC:45041

NAFR

NIRMALA RAO

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8584 of 2026

1 - Prashant Raj S/o Anand Singh Raj Aged About 23 Years R/o Village Khaira, P. S. Ratanpr District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

--- Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Torwa District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondent(s)

For Applicant	**:** Shri U.P.S. Sahu, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	**:** Ms. Monika Thakur, P.L.

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY

Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.395/2026 registered at Police Station Torwa, District Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 77, 351(2), 66(E) & 67(A) of BNS.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, the applicant and the victim were in a consensual relationship, during which some objectionable video clips were recorded by the present applicant. On the date of the incident, i.e., 18.7.2026, following a dispute between the applicant and the victim, the applicant allegedly posted those objectionable video clips on social media. Thereafter, a written report was lodged on 20.7.2026, on the basis of which the police registered the aforesaid offence against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would contend that the videos in question featured the victim herself and that the present applicant had never transmitted those objectionable videos. He would further submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant has been in jail since 21.7.2026, he has no criminal antecedents, and the trial may take some time to conclude. He would, therefore, pray for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application and submit that the mobile phones of the present applicant and the victim were seized by the police and that objectionable videos of the victim were found on both mobile phones. He would further submit that, having regard to the conduct of the applicant, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the allegations made against the present applicant, the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed, that the applicant has been in jail since 21.7.2026, and that he has no criminal antecedents, this Court, without commenting on the merits of the case,

is inclined to allow the bail application. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;
(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &
(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observations made in the course of this order are only for considering the case of the applicants on the application for grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by the observations made in the course of this order.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Nimmi