CGHC010356612026 2026:CGHC:42975

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8527 of 2026

1 - Numesh Kumar S/o Khilanand Sahu, Aged About 18 Years R/o Shikaripara, Balod, P.S. Balod, District Balod C.G. **... Applicant(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Balod, District Balod (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant (s)	:	Mr. Roshan Singh Lamba, Advocate
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Ms. Monika Thakur, P.L.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

05.10.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 200/2026, registered at Police Station Balod, District Balod (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m), 65(1) of BNS and 4 & 6 of POCSO Act.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the applicant sexually assaulted the victim, aged about 15 years and 13 days. The FIR was lodged against the applicant by the father of the victim, pursuant to which the offences, as stated above, were registered against him.

3. In pursuance of the notice dated 15.09.2026 issued to the victim, today the father of the victim appeared before this Court through virtual mode

Digitally signed by JYOTI JHA
Date: 2026.10.06 11:11:25 +0530

and raised serious objection to releasing the applicant on bail.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the victim has already been examined before the trial Court and she has not supported the case of the prosecution. He also submits that the applicant is in jail since 08.07.2026 and he has no criminal antecedents. He, therefore, prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that the victim was 15 years and 13 days of age on the date of the incident. She would further submit that serious allegations of sexual assault have been made against the present applicant and, therefore, the application moved by the applicant for grant of bail may be rejected.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, and particularly considering the fact that the victim has already been examined before the trial Court on 31.08.2026, wherein she has categorically stated in her deposition that she stayed for a period of three months at the house of her sister in Hyderabad, subsequently, her father came along with police officials and she was taken to her native place, and she has denied the suggestions put forth by the Public Prosecutor with regard to the alleged sexual assault, the applicant has no criminal antecedent, and also considering the fact that the applicant is in custody since 08.07.2026 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to grant bail to the present

applicant. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed.**

8. Let applicant be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Jyoti