CGHC010354232026 2026:CGHC:45008

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8627 of 2026

1 - Surendra Yadav S/o Amarnath Yadav Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Khapri Police Station Mulmula, District- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, District- Janjgir- Champa (C.G.)

--- **Respondent**

MCRC No. 7232 of 2026

1 - Shyamratan Dinkar S/o- Ramnarayan, Aged About 55 Years R/o- Khapari (Pamgarh), P.S. Mulmula, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---**Applicant**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Police Station- Mulmula, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) --- **Non-applicant**

For respective Applicants	**:**	Mr. Kashish Saluja, Advocate and Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Advocate
For Non-applicant	**:**	Mr. Keshav Gupta, GA and Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, GA
For Complainant/Objector	**:**	Mr. Prashant Dadsena, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order On Board

26.10.2026

1) The applicants have preferred these First Bail Applications under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, they have been arrested in connection with Crime

No.108/2026 registered at Police Station- Mulmula, Janjgir Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 309(4), 111 & 3(5) of BNS.

2) As per the prosecution case, Surendra Yadav and Gajendra Pal Dinkar, in furtherance of their common intention and criminal conspiracy, allegedly committed house trespass and, with the intention of committing robbery of gold and silver ornaments, murdered Santram Sahu and Shyambai Sahu on 01.04.2026. The allegation against co-accused Vijendra Pal Dinkar was that he helped co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar and Surendra Yadav escape, whereas Shyamratan Dinkar received the stolen property. During the course of the investigation, the police seized a blood-stained knife at the instance of Surendra Yadav, whereas gold ornaments were recovered at the instance of Shyamratan Dinkar. The forensic team collected footprints from the spot, which matched those of co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar. In his memorandum statement, Gajendra Pal Dinkar categorically confessed that Surendra Yadav was in his company and they had both committed the murders. Consequently, the offences stated above were registered against the present applicants.

3) Learned advocates appearing for the respective applicants would argue that no material is available in the case diary against the present applicants and they were taken into custody on suspicion on 03.04.2026. They would further submit that co-accused Vijendra Pal Dinkar has already been enlarged on bail and the charge-sheet has already been filed. It is also argued that, though gold ornaments were recovered at the instance of applicant Shyamratan Dinkar but no identification was conducted by the Investigating Agency to establish

that the robbed articles belonged to the family of the deceased. It is further contended that there is no forensic evidence against applicant Surendra Yadav and he has been implicated in the case solely on the basis of the memorandum statement of co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar. Therefore, they pray for grant of regular bail to the applicants.

4) On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail applications and submits that the applicant Surendra Yadav was actively involved in the murders of Santram Sahu and Shyambai Sahu. It is further contended that a blood-stained knife was seized during the investigation and the FSL report is positive. It is also argued that the footprints found at the spot matched those of co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar, who specifically stated in his memorandum statement that applicant Surendra Yadav was actively involved in committing the double murder. Therefore, learned State counsel prays for rejection of the bail applications.

5) Learned counsel appearing for the Objector would support the contention raised by learned State Counsel.

6) I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

7) Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations, and the material available in the case diary, it is evident that the present case involves the murder of two persons, namely, Santram Sahu and Shyambai Sahu, allegedly in connection with the commission of robbery. A blood-stained knife was seized at the instance of applicant Surendra Yadav, and as per the FSL report, human blood was found on it. Further, stolen gold

ornaments were recovered at the instance of applicant Shyamratan Dinkar. The footprints collected from the spot also matched those of co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar. The prosecution has further alleged that co-accused Gajendra Pal Dinkar, in his memorandum statement, disclosed the involvement of applicant Surendra Yadav in the commission of the double murder. Considering the nature of the allegations and the material collected during the investigation, this Court is of the view that the applicants are not entitled to be released on bail at this stage. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both bail applications are **rejected.**

8) The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding these bail applications only, the learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Rekha