CGHC010353542026 2026:CGHC:42977

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8470 of 2026

1 - Rajkumari Kanojiya W/o Ramsagar Kanojiya Aged About 62 Years R/o Village Gondla, P.S. Basantpur, District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)

2 - Mamta Kanojiya W/o Tileshwar Kanojiya Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Gondla, P.S. Basantpur, District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)

3 - Sita Kanojiya W/o Rameshwar Kanojiya Aged About 34 Years R/o Village Gondla, P.S. Basantpur, District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applcant(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Basantpur District- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.) **... Non-applicant**

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Akath Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For Non-applicant	**:**	Ms. Laxmeen Kashyap, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

05/10/2026

1. The applicants have preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as they have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 110/2026, registered at Police Station Basantpur, District Balrampur at Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(3), 115(2), 191(3) and 109(1) of BNS.

2. As per the prosecution story, on 07.07.2026, the complainant, Ravi Kumar Kushwaha, along with his family members, was engaged in agricultural work in their field. At that time, the accused persons allegedly arrived at the

Digitally signed by JYOTI JHA
Date: 2026.10.06 11:11:23 +0530

spot, and due to a previous land dispute, a quarrel took place between the parties, which turned into an aggressive fight involving lathis and stones. Thereafter, the complainant lodged an F.I.R. against the present applicants and other accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are ladies and have been falsely implicated in the present case due to previous enmity arising out of a land dispute. It is submitted that the quarrel took place between both the parties, pursuant to which both sides lodged F.I.Rs. against each other, and the applicants have also sustained grievous injuries in the said incident. It is further submitted that applicant No.1 is a senior citizen aged about 62 years and is suffering from various health issues. Learned counsel further submits that the applicants have no criminal antecedents, the investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has already been filed and the trial is likely to take considerable time. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicants may kindly be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are specific allegations against the applicants regarding their involvement in the incident. It is further submitted that the applicants have actively participated in the quarrel and the allegations against them are supported by the material collected during investigation. On these grounds, learned State Counsel prays for rejection of the bail application.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly

considering the allegation that a fatal blow was inflicted by means of a wooden club by co-accused Kameshwar, and the present applicants, who are related to the said accused, were in his company, the charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicants are ladies and are in custody since 27.07.2026, and they have no criminal antecedents, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am inclined to grant bail to the present applicants. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicants executing a personal bond for a sum of **Rs.50,000/-** with one surety each in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, they shall be released on bail, on following conditions :-

    (i) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court;

    (ii) The applicants shall not act in any manner which may be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial; and

    (iii) The applicants shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

    The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-
Rakesh Mohan Pandey
JUDGE

Jyoti