CGHC010335672026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8415 of 2026

Ramlalan S/o Jeevdhan Jaisawal Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Balangi, Police Outpost- Balangi, P.S. - Raghunathnagar, District - Balrampur -Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applicant(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Outpoat Balangi, P.S. Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur -Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Mishra, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Priya Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Mohan Pandey, Judge

Order on Board

02/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed by the applicant under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 43/2026 registered at Police Station Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2)(n), 336 and 337 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

2023 and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that in May 2022, the applicant allegedly snatched the victim's Class 10th mark sheet and refused to return it unless she agreed to establish physical relations with him. It is further alleged that on 10.08.2022, the applicant took the victim to a forest area on his motorcycle, sexually assaulted her and captured her photographs on his mobile phone. Thereafter, he allegedly blackmailed and sexually exploited her on the basis of the said photographs. It is also alleged that the applicant, in connivance with the co-accused persons, got certain public documents, including a ration card and Aadhaar card, fabricated by showing himself as the victim's husband. On the basis of the complaint, the aforesaid offence was registered against the applicant and other accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that the victim has already been examined before the learned trial Court and has not supported the case of the prosecution, rather, she has denied the suggestions put forth by the learned Public Prosecutor. He would also submit that the medical examination report does not support the prosecution version. He would further submit that the co-accused persons, namely Ravicharan Bais and Kaushilya, have already been granted regular bail and anticipatory bail, respectively, by a

Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 16.06.2026 passed in MCRC No. 4929/2026 and M.Cr.C.A. No. 822/2026. He would further submit that the applicant is in judicial custody since 30.04.2026, the charge-sheet has already been filed, he has no criminal antecedents and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the prayer for grant of bail and submit that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature, involving sexual assault upon the victim. Therefore, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. Pursuant to the order dated 30.09.2026, the victim appeared before this Court through video conferencing and expressed her consent for grant of bail to the applicant.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offence alleged against the applicant and further considering that the victim has already been examined before the learned trial Court and has not supported the case of the prosecution, even denying the suggestions put forth by the learned Public Prosecutor, the victim has also appeared before

this Court through video conferencing and expressed her consent for grant of bail to the applicant. Further, the applicant is in judicial custody since 30.04.2026, charge-sheet has already been filed, he has no criminal antecedents and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the applicant.

8. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant is **allowed**. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;
(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &
(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Kunal