CGHC010332752026 2026:CGHC:43807

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8571 of 2026

Vicky Dahre (Dahariya) S/o Tijau Ram Dahre (Dahariya) Aged About 23 Years R/o Village Indrapuri, Hirri Mines, Rahangi, Police Station -Chakarbhatha, District Bilaspur (C.G.) **... Applicant**

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.12 15:03:27 +0530

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Chakarbhatha, District Bilaspur (C.G.) **... Non-applicant**

For Applicant	:	Shri Vipin Singh Thakur, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Shri Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, Deputy G.A.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)

Order on Board

09/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred the **First bail application** under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.507/2025, registered at Police Station Chakarbhatha, District-Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m) Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (for short, the BNS, 2023) and Section 5(L)/6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for brevity, the POCSO Act, 2012).

2. Case of the prosecution, in nutshell, the victim, aged about 17 years and 5 months was kidnapped from the lawful guardianship and she was compelled to perform marriage and subsequently, she was

sexually abused by the applicant. Later on, the victim was found in the company of the applicant and police recovered her on 05.02.2026. On the basis of the statement of the victim, the offence, as stated above, was registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the victim was 17 years and 5 months; there was consensual relationship between them; she stayed with the applicant for more than one month; charge-sheet has already been filed; applicant is in jail since 06.02.2026 and further, trial may take some considerable time for its conclusion. Therefore, he would pray for grant of bail to the present applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that a minor girl, aged about 17 years and 5 months was abducted by the present applicant and subsequently, she was sexually exploited, therefore, the bail application of the present applicant deserves to be rejected.

5. Father of victim is present in person before the Court and has raised serious objection in grant of bail to the applicant.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Having considered the age of the victim; allegations made against the applicant and the objection with regard to grant of bail raised by the father of the victim, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application fails and is hereby **rejected.**

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Anjani