CGHC010325902026 2026:CGHC:42948

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 8023 of 2026

Rajesh Kumar Sahu S/o Shiv Kumar Sahu Aged About 20 Years R/o Bazar Chowk, Dabra Para, Bhilai-03 District- Durg (C.G.) **... Applicant**

versus

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.05 17:33:13 +0530

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Purani Bhiali District Durg (C.G.) (Wrongly Written As Police Station Bhilai-3 In Place Of Purani Bhilai In The Rejection Order)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	:	Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)

Order on Board

05/10/2026

1. This is the **first bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.416/2026 registered at Police Station Purani Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, upon information that on 06.08.2026, the present applicant was brandishing a knife in public place, the police seized the knife and arrested the applicant. Subsequently, the police registered the aforesaid offences, as stated

above, against the present applicant.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant would submit that charge-sheet has already been filed and the applicant is in jail since 06.08.2026 and he is a young boy, aged about 20 years. It is also contended that though there are 5 criminal antecedents, but in 3 criminal cases, he has already been acquitted and two offences are in trivial nature registered under the provisions of BNS, which are pending consideration. He would further contend that conclusion of the trial is likely to take some considerable time. Therefore, he would pray that the bail application may be allowed and the applicant may be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant. She would submit that while the applicant was brandishing a knife, he was taken into custody and knife was seized from the possession of the present applicant. She would further submit that the present applicant has two live criminal antecedents, therefore, the bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that the the applicant has no criminal antecedents of similar nature; two criminal cases of trivial nature registered under the provisions of BNS are pending consideration and that, he has already been acquitted in 3 criminal cases; charge-sheet has already been filed; he is in jail since 06.08.2026 and further conclusion of trial may take some considerable time, I am inclined to

grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the instant bail application is **allowed.**

7. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. The observation made herein above is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only and the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Anjani