SIDDHANT TAMRAKAR
Digitally signed by SIDDHANT TAMRAKAR
Date: 2026.10.06 17:32:54 +0530

CGHC010325162026 2026:CGHC:43228

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 7807 of 2026

- Vikky Aadil S/o Santram Aadil Aged About 20 Years R/o Housing Board Colony Dhansuli, P.S. Vidhansabha District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Rekhraj Baghel, Advocate
For State : Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

06.10.2026

1. Victim appeared along with her mother through V.C. and has given her consent in grant of bail to the applicant.

2. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 401/2025 registered at Police Station Mandir Hasaud, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Section 74 of BNS and Section 8 of POCSO Act.

3. As per case of prosecution, father of the victim lodged an FIR on 08.09.2025, alleging that at about 11:00 a.m., the present applicant used force against the victim to outrage her modesty while she was going to her

school. On such complaint, the police registered an offence against the applicant as stated above.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant would contend that false allegations were made against the applicant. He would further submit that victim and her mother have already been examined before the trial Court and they have not supported the case of prosecution. He would submit that applicant is in jail since 04.07.2026 and trial may take some time for its conclusion. He would contend that applicant has no criminal antecedents. Thus, he would pray for grant of bail to the applicant.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail application. Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer would submit that the present applicant tried to outrage modesty of minor victim, aged about 13 years, while she was going to her school. She would further submit that looking to the seriousness of the allegations, the present application deserves to be rejected.

6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the present applicant, the fact that the victim and her mother have already been examined before the trial Court and have not supported the case of the prosecution at all even they denied the suggestions put forth by public prosecutor, applicant is in jail since 04.07.2026, trial may take some time for its conclusion and applicant has no criminal antecedents, therefore, I am inclined to allow this application.

8. Accordingly, the regular bail application is allowed and it is directed that in

the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

$iddhant